arXiv:1404.1329v1 [math.AG] 4 Apr 2014

Categorification of Lagrangian intersections on complex symplectic manifolds using perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles

Vittoria Bussi
The Mathematical Institute,
Andrew Wiles Building Radcliffe Observatory Quarter,
Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX1 3LB, U.K.
E-mail: bussi@maths.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

We study intersections of complex Lagrangian in complex symplectic manifolds, proving two main results.

First, we construct global canonical perverse sheaves on complex Lagrangian intersections in complex symplectic manifolds for any pair of *oriented* Lagrangian submanifolds in the complex analytic topology. Our method uses classical results in complex symplectic geometry and some results from [Joyc1]. The algebraic version of our result has already been obtained by the author et al. in [BBDJS] using different methods, where we used, in particular, the recent new theory of algebraic d-critical loci introduced by Joyce in [Joyc1]. This resolves a long-standing question in the categorification of Lagrangian intersection numbers and it may have important consequences in symplectic geometry and topological field theory.

Our second main result proves that (oriented) complex Lagrangian intersections in complex symplectic manifolds for any pair of Lagrangian submanifolds in the complex analytic topology carry the structure of (oriented) analytic d-critical loci in the sense of [Joyc1].

Contents

Introduction **2**

1 Background material **4**
1.1 Perverse sheaves on complex analytic spaces 4
1.2 Stabilizing perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles 9
1.3 Complex Lagrangian intersections in complex symplectic manifolds 11

2 Constructing canonical global perverse sheaves on Lagrangian intersections **14**
2.1 Canonical isomorphism of perverse sheaves on double overlaps 17
2.2 Comparing perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles associated to polarizations 20
2.3 Descent for perverse sheaves 23

3 Analytic d-critical locus structure on complex Lagrangian intersections **25**
3.1 Background material on d-critical loci 25
3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1 27

4 Relation with other works and further research **28**

References **30**

Introduction

Let (S,ω) be a complex symplectic manifold, i.e., a complex manifold S endowed with a closed non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form $\omega\in\Omega^2_S$. Denote the complex dimension of S by $2n$. A complex submanifold $M\subset S$ is *Lagrangian* if the restriction of ω to a 2-form on M vanishes and $\dim M=n$. Let $X=L\cap M$ be the intersection as a complex analytic space. Then X carries a canonical symmetric obstruction theory $\varphi:E^\bullet\to\mathbb{L}_X$ in the sense of [BeFa], which can be represented by the complex $E^\bullet\simeq[T^*S|_X\to T^*L|_X\oplus T^*M|_X]$ with $T^*S|_X$ in degree -1 and $T^*L|_X\oplus T^*M|_X$ in degree zero. Hence $\det(E^\bullet)\cong K_L|_X\otimes K_M|_X$. Inspired by [KoSo1, §5.2] in primis and then by [BBDJS, §2.4] and close to [Joyc1, §5.2], we will say that if we are given square roots $K_L^{1/2},K_M^{1/2}$ for K_L,K_M, then X has *orientation data*. In this case we will also say that L,M are *oriented* Lagrangians, see Remark 1.17.

We start from well known facts from complex symplectic geometry. It is well established that every complex symplectic manifold S is locally isomorphic to the cotangent bundle T^*N of a complex manifold N. The fibres of the induced vector bundle structure on S are Lagrangian submanifolds, so complex analytically locally defining on S a foliation by Lagrangian submanifolds, i.e., a *polarization*. The data of a polarization for us will be used as a way to describe locally in the complex analytic topology the Lagrangian intersection X as a critical locus $X\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f:U\to\mathbb{C})$, where f is a holomorphic function on a complex manifold U. One moral of this approach is that every polarization defines a set of data for X which we will call a *chart*, by analogy with critical charts defined by [Joyc1, §2.1], and thus the choice of a family of polarizations on a complex symplectic manifold provides a family of charts which will be useful to defining some geometric structures on them and consequently get a global object on X by *gluing*. This will become more clear later. In conclusion, on each chart defined by the choice of a polarization, there is naturally associated a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ in the notation of §1.

Now, a natural problem to investigate is the following. Given analytic open $R_i,R_j\subseteq X$ with isomorphisms $R_i\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f_i)$, $R_j\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f_j)$ for holomorphic $f_i:U_i\to\mathbb{C}$ and $f_j:U_j\to\mathbb{C}$, we have to understand whether the perverse sheaves $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_i}=\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_i,f_i}$ on R_i and $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_j}=\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_j,f_j}$ on R_j are isomorphic over $R_i\cap R_j$, and if so, whether the isomorphism is canonical, for only then can we hope to glue the $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_i}$ for $i\in I$ to make $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{L,M}$. Studying these issues led to this paper.

Our approach was inspired by a work of Behrend and Fantechi [BeFa]. They also investigated Lagrangian intersections in complex symplectic manifolds, but their project is probably more ambitious, as they show the existence of deeply interesting structures carried by the intersection. Unfortunately, their construction has some crucial mistakes. Our project started exactly with the aim to fix them and develop then an independent theory. In the meantime, the author worked with other collaborators on a large project [BBDJS, BJM, BBJ] involving Lagrangian intersections too, but our methods here want to be self contained and independent from that. In particular, the analogue of our Theorem below for algebraic symplectic manifolds and algebraic manifolds follows from [BBJ, BBDJS, PTVV], but the complex analytic case is not available in [BBJ, PTVV].

In §2 we will state and prove the following result:

Theorem *Let (S,ω) be a complex symplectic manifold and L,M oriented complex Lagrangian submanifolds in S, and write $X=L\cap M$, as a complex analytic subspace of S. Then we may define $P^\bullet_{L,M}\in\mathrm{Perv}(X)$, uniquely up to canonical isomorphism, and isomorphisms $\Sigma_{L,M}:P^\bullet_{L,M}\to\mathbb{D}_X(P^\bullet_{L,M})$, $\mathrm{T}_{L,M}:P^\bullet_{L,M}\to P^\bullet_{L,M}$, respectively the Verdier duality and the monodromy isomorphisms. These $P^\bullet_{L,M}\in\mathrm{Perv}(X),\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ are characterized by the following property.*

Given a choice of local Darboux coordinates $(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ in the sense of Definition 1.14 such that L is locally identified in coordinates with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}$ of $\mathrm{d}f$ for f a holomorphic function defined locally on an open $U\subset\mathbb{C}^n$, and M is locally identified in coordinates with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}$ of $\mathrm{d}g$ for g a holomorphic function defined locally on U, and the orientations $K_L^{1/2},K_M^{1/2}$ are the trivial square roots of $K_L\cong\langle\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_n\rangle\cong K_M$, then $P^\bullet_{L,M}\cong\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,g-f}$, where $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,g-f}$ is the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles of $g-f$, and $\Sigma_{L,M}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ are respectively the Verdier duality $\sigma_{U,g-f}$ and the monodromy $\tau_{U,g-f}$ introduced in §1.

The same applies for $\mathscr{D}$-modules and mixed Hodge modules on X.

Here is a sketch of the method of proof, given in detail in §2.1–2.3.

Given (S,ω) a complex symplectic manifold we want to construct a global perverse sheaf $P^\bullet_{L,M} \in \mathrm{Perv}(X)$, by gluing together local data coming from choices of polarizations by isomorphisms. We consider an open cover $\{S_i\}_{i\in I}$ of S and polarizations $\pi_i : S_i \to E_i$, always assumed to be transverse to both the Lagrangians L and M. We use the following method:

(i) For each polarization $\pi_i : S_i \to E_i$ transverse to both the Lagrangian submanifolds L and M, we define a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycle $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_i}$, naturally defined on the chart induced by the choice of a polarization. and a principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle Q_{f_i}, which roughly speaking parametrizes isomorphisms $K_L^{1/2} \cong K_M^{1/2}$ compatible with π_i.

(ii) For two such polarizations E_i and E_j, transverse to each other, and to both the Lagrangians, we have a way to define two perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles, $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_i}$ and $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_j}$, again with principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles, each of them parametrizing choices of square roots of the canonical bundles of $L \cong \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_i}$ and $M \cong \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_j}$. In this case we find an isomorphism Ψ_{ij} on double overlap $S_i \cap S_j$ between $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{f_i}$ and $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{f_j}$.

(iii) For four such polarizations E_i, E_j, E_k and E_l with E_i not necessarily transverse to E_k, we obtain equality between $\Psi_{ij}\circ\Psi_{jk}$ and $\Psi_{il}\circ\Psi_{lk}$ on $S_i\cap S_j\cap S_k\cap S_l$.

As perverse sheaves form a stack in the sense of Theorem 1.4, there exists $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ on X, unique up to canonical isomorphism, with $P^\bullet_{L,M}|_{S_i} \cong \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{f_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{f_i}$, for all $i \in I$.

Our perverse sheaf $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ categorifies Lagrangian intersection numbers, in the sense that the constructible function

$$p \to \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^i_{\{p\}}(X, P^\bullet_{L,M}),$$

is equal to the well known Behrend function ν_X in [Behr] by construction, using the expression of the Behrend function of a critical locus in terms of the Milnor fibre, as in [Behr], and so

$$\chi(X,\nu_X) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^i(X, P^\bullet_{L,M}).$$

This resolves a long-standing question in the categorification of Lagrangian intersection number, and it may have exciting far reaching consequences in symplectic geometry and topological field theory.

In [KR2], Kapustin and Rozansky study boundary conditions and defects in a three-dimensional topological sigma-model with a complex symplectic target space, the Rozansky-Witten model. They conjecture the existence of an interesting 2-category, the 2-category of boundary conditions. Their toy model for symplectic manifold is a cotangent bundle of some manifold. In this case, this category is related to the category of matrix factorizations [Orlov]. Thus, we strongly believe that constructing a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-periodic triangulated categories on Lagrangian intersection would yield an answer to their conjecture. In the language of categorification, this would give a second categorification of the intersection numbers, the first being given by the hypercohomology of the perverse sheaf constructed in the present work. Also, this construction should be compatible with the Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures in the sense of [BaGi, Conj. 1.3.1].

Our second main result proved in §3 constitutes another bridge between our work and [Joyc1, BBDJS, BBJ]. Pantev et al. [PTVV] show that *derived* intersections $L \cap M$ of algebraic Lagrangians L, M in an algebraic symplectic manifold (S,ω) have -1-shifted symplectic structures, so that Theorem 6.6 in [BBDJS] gives them the structure of algebraic d-critical loci in the sense of [Joyc1]. Our second main result shows a complex analytic version of this, which is not available from [BBJ, PTVV], that is, the *classical* intersection $L\cap M$ of complex Lagrangians L, M in a complex symplectic manifold (S,ω) has the structure of an (oriented) complex analytic d-critical locus.

Theorem *Suppose (S,ω) is a complex symplectic manifold, and L, M are (oriented) complex Lagrangian submanifolds in S. Then the intersection $X = L\cap M$, as a complex analytic subspace of S, extends naturally to a (oriented) complex analytic d-critical locus (X,s). The canonical bundle $K_{X,s}$ in the sense of [Joyc1, §2.4] is naturally isomorphic to $K_L|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \otimes K_M|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}$.*

It would be interesting to prove an analogous version of this also for a class of 'derived Lagrangians' in (S,ω). Some of the authors of [BBDJS] are working on defining a 'Fukaya category' of (derived) complex Lagrangians in a complex symplectic manifold, using $\mathbb{H}^*(P^\bullet_{L,M})$ as morphisms.

Outline of the paper

The paper begins with a section of background material on perverse sheaves in the complex analytic topology. Then we review basic notions in symplectic geometry. In §2, we state and prove our first main result on the construction of a canonical global perverse sheaf on complex Lagrangian intersections. In §3 we prove our second main result on the d-critical locus structure carried by Lagrangian intersections. Finally, the last section sketches some implications of the theory and proposes new ideas for further research.

Notations and conventions

Throughout we will work in the complex analytic topology over $\mathbb{C}$. We will denote by (S,ω) a complex symplectic manifold endowed with a symplectic form ω, and its Lagrangian submanifolds will be always assumed to be nonsingular. Note that all complex analytic spaces in this paper are locally of finite type, which is necessary for the existence of embeddings $i : X \hookrightarrow U$ for U a complex manifold. Fix a well-behaved commutative base ring A (where 'well-behaved' means that we need assumptions on A such as A is regular noetherian, of finite global dimension or finite Krull dimension, a principal ideal domain, or a Dedekind domain, at various points in the theory), to study sheaves of A-modules. For some results A must be a field. Usually we take $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor Dominic Joyce for his continuous support, for many enlightening suggestions and valuable discussions. I would like to thank also Kai Behrend and Barbara Fantechi for interesting discussions, and Delphine Dupont, with whom I started to work on this project during her stay in Oxford. This research is part of my D.Phil. project funded by an EPSRC Studentship.

1 Background material

In this introductory section we first recall general definitions and conventions about perverse sheaves on complex analytic spaces, and some results very well known in literature, which will be used in the sequel. This first part has a substantial overlap with [BBDJS]. Secondly, we recall some definitions and results from [Joyc1], crucially used to prove one of the main result of [BBDJS] about behavior of perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles under stabilization, stated in §2. Finally, we establish the basic notation for symplectic manifolds, their Lagrangian submanifolds and polarizations, and we recall results from complex symplectic geometry, used in §2.

1.1 Perverse sheaves on complex analytic spaces

We discuss perverse sheaves on complex analytic spaces, as in Dimca [Dimc].

For the whole section, let A be a well-behaved commutative base ring (usually we take $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{C}$). Usually X will be a complex analytic space, always assumed locally of finite type. We start by discussing constructible complexes, following Dimca [Dimc, §2–§4].

Definition 1.1. A sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ of A-modules on X is called *constructible* if all the stalks $\mathcal{S}_x$ for $x \in X$ are finite type A-modules, and there is a locally finite stratification $X = \coprod_{j\in J} X_j$ of X, where $X_j \subseteq X$ for $j \in J$ are complex analytic subspaces of X, such that $\mathcal{S}|_{X_j}$ is an A-local system for all $j \in J$.

Write $D(X)$ for the derived category of complexes $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of sheaves of A-modules on X. Write $D^b_c(X)$ for the full subcategory of bounded complexes $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ in $D(X)$ whose cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ are constructible

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $D(X), D^b_c(X)$ are triangulated categories. An example of a constructible complex on X is the *constant sheaf* A_X on X with fibre A at each point.

Grothendieck's "six operations on sheaves" $f^*, f^!, Rf_*, Rf_!, \mathcal{RH}om, \overset{L}{\otimes}$ act on $D(X)$ preserving the subcategory $D^b_c(X)$ in case f is proper.

For $\mathcal{B}^\bullet, \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ in $D^b_c(X)$, we may form their *derived Hom* $\mathcal{RH}om(\mathcal{B}^\bullet, \mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ [Dimc, §2.1], and *left derived tensor product* $\mathcal{B}^\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ in $D^b_c(X)$, [Dimc, §2.2]. Given $\mathcal{B}^\bullet \in D^b_c(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\bullet \in D^b_c(Y)$, we define $\mathcal{B}^\bullet \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{C}^\bullet = \pi_X^*(\mathcal{B}^\bullet) \overset{L}{\otimes} \pi_Y^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ in $D^b_c(X \times Y)$, where $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$, $\pi_Y : X \times Y \to Y$ are the projections.

If X is a complex analytic space, there is a functor $\mathbb{D}_X : D^b_c(X) \to D^b_c(X)^{\mathrm{op}}$ with $\mathbb{D}_X \circ \mathbb{D}_X \cong \mathrm{id} : D^b_c(X) \to D^b_c(X)$, called *Verdier duality*. It reverses shifts, that is, $\mathbb{D}_X\big(\mathcal{C}^\bullet[k]\big) = \big(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)\big)[-k]$ for $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ in $D^b_c(X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will use the following property: if $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism then

$$Rf_! \cong \mathbb{D}_Y \circ Rf_* \circ \mathbb{D}_X \quad \text{and} \quad f^! \cong \mathbb{D}_X \circ f^* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y. \tag{1.1}$$

If X is a complex analytic space, and $\mathcal{C}^\bullet \in D^b_c(X)$, the *hypercohomology* $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ and *compactly-supported hypercohomology* $\mathbb{H}^*_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$, both graded A-modules, are

$$\mathbb{H}^k(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) = H^k(R\pi_*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}^k_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) = H^k(R\pi_!(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\pi : X \to *$ is projection to a point. If X is proper then $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong \mathbb{H}^*_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$. They are related to usual cohomology by $\mathbb{H}^k(A_X) \cong H^k(X; A)$ and $\mathbb{H}^k_{\mathrm{cs}}(A_X) \cong H^k_{\mathrm{cs}}(X; A)$. If A is a field then under Verdier duality $\mathbb{H}^k(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong \mathbb{H}^{-k}_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{C}^\bullet))^*$.

Next we review perverse sheaves, following Dimca [Dimc, §5].

Definition 1.2. Let X be a complex analytic space, and for each $x \in X$, let $i_x : * \to X$ map $i_x : * \mapsto x$. If $\mathcal{C}^\bullet \in D^b_c(X)$, then the *support* $\operatorname{supp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ and *cosupport* $\operatorname{cosupp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are

$$\operatorname{supp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet = \overline{\big\{x \in X : \mathcal{H}^m(i_x^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) \neq 0\big\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{cosupp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet = \overline{\big\{x \in X : \mathcal{H}^m(i_x^!(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) \neq 0\big\}},$$

where $\overline{\{\cdots\}}$ means the closure in X^{an}. If A is a field then $\operatorname{cosupp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet = \operatorname{supp}^{-m} \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$. We call $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ a *perverse sheaf* if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{supp}^{-m} \mathcal{C}^\bullet \leqslant m \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{cosupp}^m \mathcal{C}^\bullet \leqslant m$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where by convention $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \emptyset = -\infty$. Write $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ for the full subcategory of perverse sheaves in $D^b_c(X)$. Then $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ is an abelian category, the heart of a t-structure on $D^b_c(X)$.

Perverse sheaves have the following properties:

Theorem 1.3. **(a)** *If A is a field then $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ is noetherian and artinian.*

(b) *If A is a field then $\mathbb{D}_X : D^b_c(X) \to D^b_c(X)$ maps $\mathrm{Perv}(X) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X)$.*

(c) *If $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is inclusion of a closed complex analytic subspaces, then $Ri_*, Ri_!$ (which are naturally isomorphic) map $\mathrm{Perv}(X) \to \mathrm{Perv}(Y)$.*

Write $\mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X$ for the full subcategory of objects in $\mathrm{Perv}(Y)$ supported on X. Then $Ri_* \cong Ri_!$ are equivalences of categories $\mathrm{Perv}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X$. The restrictions $i^*|_{\mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X}, i^!|_{\mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X}$ map $\mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X \to \mathrm{Perv}(X)$, are naturally isomorphic, and are quasi-inverses for $Ri_*, Ri_! : \mathrm{Perv}(X) \to \mathrm{Perv}(Y)_X$.

(d) *If $f : X \to Y$ is étale then f^* and $f^!$ (which are naturally isomorphic) map $\mathrm{Perv}(Y) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X)$. More generally, if $f : X \to Y$ is smooth of relative dimension d, then $f^*[d] \cong f^![-d]$ map $\mathrm{Perv}(Y) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X)$.*

(e) $\overset{L}{\boxtimes} : D^b_c(X) \times D^b_c(Y) \to D^b_c(X \times Y)$ *maps* $\mathrm{Perv}(X) \times \mathrm{Perv}(Y) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X \times Y)$.

(f) *Let U be a complex manifold. Then $A_U[\dim U]$ is perverse, where A_U is the constant sheaf on U with fibre A, and $[\dim U]$ means shift by $\dim U$ in the triangulated category $D^b_c(X)$. Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_U\big(A_U[\dim U]\big) \cong A_U[\dim U]$.*

The next theorem is proved in [KaSc1, Th. 10.2.9], see also [HTT, Prop. 8.1.26]. The analogue for $D^b_c(X)$ or $D(X)$ rather than $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ is false. One moral is that perverse sheaves behave like sheaves, rather than like complexes.

Theorem 1.4. *Perverse sheaves on a complex analytic space X form a* ***stack*** *on X in the complex analytic topology. Explicitly, this means the following. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an analytic open cover for X, and write $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ for $i, j \in I$. Similarly, write $U_{ijk} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ for $i, j, k \in I$. With this notation:*

(i) *Suppose $\mathcal{P}^\bullet, \mathcal{Q}^\bullet \in \mathrm{Perv}(X)$, and we are given $\alpha_i : \mathcal{P}^\bullet|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{Q}^\bullet|_{U_i}$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_i)$ for all $i \in I$ such that for all $i, j \in I$ we have*

$$\alpha_i|_{U_{ij}} = \alpha_j|_{U_{ij}},$$

then exists unique $\alpha : \mathcal{P}^\bullet \to \mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ with $\alpha|_{U_i} = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in I$.

(ii) *Suppose we are given objects $\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet \in \mathrm{Perv}(U_i)$ for all $i \in I$ and isomorphisms $\alpha_{ij} : \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet|_{U_{ij}} \to \mathcal{P}_j^\bullet|_{U_{ij}}$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_{ij})$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $\alpha_{ii} = \mathrm{id}$ and*

$$\alpha_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \alpha_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} = \alpha_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} : \mathcal{P}_i|_{U_{ijk}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_k|_{U_{ijk}}$$

in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_{ijk})$ for all $i, j, k \in I$. Then there exists $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$, unique up to canonical isomorphism, with isomorphisms $\beta_i : \mathcal{P}^\bullet|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet$ for each $i \in I$, satisfying $\alpha_{ij} \circ \beta_i|_{U_{ij}} = \beta_j|_{U_{ij}} : \mathcal{P}^\bullet|_{U_{ij}} \to \mathcal{P}_j^\bullet|_{U_{ij}}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

If $P \to X$ is a principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle on a complex manifold X, and $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \in \mathrm{Perv}(X)$, we will define a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P$.

Definition 1.5. A *principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle* $P \to X$ on a complex analytic space X, is a proper, étale, surjective, complex analytic morphism of complex analytic spaces $\pi : P \to X$ together with a free involution $\sigma : P \to P$, such that the orbits of $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \sigma\}$ are the fibres of π.

Let $P \to X$ be a principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle. Write $\mathcal{L}_P \in D_c^b(X)$ for the rank one A-local system on X induced from P by the nontrivial representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \{\pm 1\}$ on A. It is characterized by $\pi_*(A_P) \cong A_X \oplus \mathcal{L}_P$. For each $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \in D_c^b(X)$, write $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P \in D_c^b(X)$ for $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_P$, and call it $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ *twisted by* P. If $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ is perverse then $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P$ is perverse. Perverse sheaves and complexes twisted by principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles have the obvious functorial behavior.

We explain nearby cycles and vanishing cycles, as in Dimca [Dimc, §4.2].

Definition 1.6. Let X be a complex analytic space, and $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ a holomorphic function. Define $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$, as a complex analytic subspace of X, and $X_* = X \setminus X_0$. Consider the commutative diagram of complex analytic spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xleftarrow{j} & X_* & \xleftarrow{p} & \widetilde{X_*} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{f}} \\
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^* & \xleftarrow{\rho} & \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}.
\end{array} \qquad (1.3)$$

Here $i : X_0 \hookrightarrow X$, $j : X_* \hookrightarrow X$ are the inclusions, $\rho : \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is the universal cover of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\widetilde{X_*} = X_* \times_{f,\mathbb{C}^*,\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}$ the corresponding cover of X_*, with covering map $p : \widetilde{X_*} \to X_*$, and $\pi = j \circ p$. We define the *nearby cycle functor* $\psi_f : D_c^b(X) \to D_c^b(X_0)$ to be $\psi_f = i^* \circ R\pi_* \circ \pi^*$.

There is a natural transformation $\Xi : i^* \Rightarrow \psi_f$ between the functors $i^*, \psi_f : D_c^b(X) \to D_c^b(X_0)$. The *vanishing cycle functor* $\phi_f : D_c^b(X) \to D_c^b(X_0)$ is a functor such that for every $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ in $D_c^b(X)$ we have a distinguished triangle

$$i^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\Xi(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)} \psi_f(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \phi_f(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{[+1]} i^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$$

in $D_c^b(X_0)$. Following Dimca [Dimc, p. 108], we write ψ_f^p, ϕ_f^p for the shifted functors $\psi_f[-1], \phi_f[-1] : D_c^b(X) \to D_c^b(X_0)$.

The generator of $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}$ induces a deck transformation $\delta_{\mathbb{C}^*} : \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} \to \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}$ which lifts to a deck transformation $\delta_{X^*} : \widetilde{X^*} \to \widetilde{X^*}$ with $p \circ \delta_{X^*} = p$ and $\tilde{f} \circ \delta_{X^*} = \delta_{\mathbb{C}^*} \circ \tilde{f}$. As in [Dimc, p. 103, p. 105], we can use δ_{X^*} to define natural transformations $M_{X,f} : \psi_f^p \Rightarrow \psi_f^p$ and $M_{X,f} : \phi_f^p \Rightarrow \phi_f^p$, called *monodromy*.

By Dimca [Dimc, Th. 5.2.21], if X is a complex analytic space and $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, then $\psi_f^p, \phi_f^p : D_c^b(X) \to D_c^b(X_0)$ both map $\mathrm{Perv}(X) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X_0)$.

We will use the following property, proved by Massey [Mass]. If X is a complex manifold and $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is regular, then there are natural isomorphisms

$$\psi_f^p \circ \mathbb{D}_X \cong \mathbb{D}_{X_0} \circ \psi_f^p \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_f^p \circ \mathbb{D}_X \cong \mathbb{D}_{X_0} \circ \phi_f^p. \tag{1.4}$$

We can now define perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ for a holomorphic function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.7. Let U be a complex analytic space, and $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ a holomorphic function. Write $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, as a closed complex analytic subspace of U. Then as a map of topological spaces, $f|_X : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is locally constant, with finite image $f(X)$, so we have a decomposition $X = \coprod_{c \in f(X)} X_c$, for $X_c \subseteq X$ the open and closed complex analytic subspace with $f(x) = c$ for each $x \in X_c$.

For each $c \in \mathbb{C}$, write $U_c = f^{-1}(c) \subseteq U$. Then we have a vanishing cycle functor $\phi^p_{f-c} : \mathrm{Perv}(U) \to \mathrm{Perv}(U_c)$. So we may form $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_c)$, since $A_U[\dim U] \in \mathrm{Perv}(U)$ by Theorem 1.3(f). One can show $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])$ is supported on the closed subset $X_c = \mathrm{Crit}(f) \cap U_c$ in U_c, where $X_c = \emptyset$ unless $c \in f(X)$. That is, $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])$ lies in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_c)_{X_c}$. But Theorem 1.3(c) says $\mathrm{Perv}(U_c)_{X_c}$ and $\mathrm{Perv}(X_c)$ are equivalent categories, so we may regard $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])$ as a perverse sheaf on X_c. That is, we can consider $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c} = i^*_{X_c,U_c}\big(\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])\big)$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X_c)$, where $i_{X_c,U_c} : X_c \to U_c$ is the inclusion morphism. Also, $\mathrm{Perv}(X) = \bigoplus_{c \in f(X)} \mathrm{Perv}(X_c)$.

Define the *perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles* $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ *of* U, f in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ to be

$$\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} = \bigoplus_{c \in f(X)} \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c}.$$

That is, $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ is the unique perverse sheaf on $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ with $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}|_{X_c} = \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c}$ for all $c \in f(X)$.

Under Verdier duality, we have $A_U[\dim U] \cong \mathbb{D}_U(A_U[\dim U])$ by Theorem 1.3(f), so $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U]) \cong \mathbb{D}_{U_c}\big(\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])\big)$ by (1.4). Applying $i^*_{X_c,U_c}$ and using $\mathbb{D}_{X_c} \circ i^*_{X_c,U_c} \cong i^!_{X_c,U_c} \circ \mathbb{D}_{U_c}$ by (1.1) and $i^!_{X_c,U_c} \cong i^*_{X_c,U_c}$ on $\mathrm{Perv}(U_c)_{X_c}$ by Theorem 1.3(c) also gives

$$\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c} \cong \mathbb{D}_{X_c}\big(\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c}\big).$$

Summing over all $c \in f(X)$ yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\sigma_{U,f} : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}). \tag{1.5}$$

For $c \in f(X)$, we have a monodromy operator $M_{U,f-c} : \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U]) \to \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])$, which restricts to $\phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c}$. Define the *twisted monodromy operator* $\tau_{U,f} : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \to \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ by

$$\tau_{U,f}|_{X_c} = (-1)^{\dim U} M_{U,f-c}|_{X_c} : \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c} \longrightarrow \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c}, \tag{1.6}$$

for each $c \in f(X)$. Here 'twisted' refers to the sign $(-1)^{\dim U}$ in (1.6). We include this sign change as it makes monodromy act naturally under transformations which change dimension — without it, equation (1.28) below would only commute up to a sign $(-1)^{\dim V - \dim U}$, not commute — and it normalizes the monodromy of any nondegenerate quadratic form to be the identity. The sign $(-1)^{\dim U}$ also corresponds to the twist '$(\frac{1}{2}\dim U)$' in the definition of the mixed Hodge module of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{HV}^\bullet_{U,f}$.

The (compactly-supported) hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}), \mathbb{H}^*_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f})$ from (1.2) is an important invariant of U, f. If A is a field then the isomorphism $\sigma_{U,f}$ in (1.5) implies that $\mathbb{H}^k(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) \cong \mathbb{H}^{-k}_{\mathrm{cs}}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f})^*$, a form of Poincaré duality.

We defined $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ in perverse sheaves over a base ring A. Writing $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}(A)$ to denote the base ring, one can show that $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}(A) \cong \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}(\mathbb{Z}) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} A$. Thus, we may as well take $A = \mathbb{Z}$, or $A = \mathbb{Q}$ if we want A to be a field, since the case of general A contains no more information.

There is a 'Thom–Sebastiani Theorem for perverse sheaves', due to Massey [Mass1] and Schürmann [Schu, Cor. 1.3.4]. Applied to $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$, it yields:

Theorem 1.8. *Let U, V be complex manifolds and $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic, so that $f \boxplus g : U \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ is regular with $(f \boxplus g)(u, v) := f(u) + g(v)$. Set $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and $Y = \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ as complex analytic spaces of U, V, so that $\mathrm{Crit}(f \boxplus g) = X \times Y$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{TS}_{U,f,V,g} : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U\times V, f\boxplus g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g} \tag{1.7}$$

in $\mathrm{Perv}(X \times Y)$*, such that the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U\times V, f\boxplus g} & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\sigma_{U\times V, f\boxplus g}\qquad\qquad} & & \mathbb{D}_{X\times Y}\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U\times V, f\boxplus g}\bigr) \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{TS}_{U,f,V,g}} & & & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}_{X\times Y}(\mathcal{TS}_{U,f,V,g})} \\
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \sigma_{V,g}} & \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathbb{D}_Y(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{D}_{X\times Y}\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr),
\end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U\times V, f\boxplus g} & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\tau_{U\times V, f\boxplus g}\qquad\qquad} & \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U\times V, f\boxplus g} \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{TS}_{U,f,V,g}} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{TS}_{U,f,V,g}} \\
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \tau_{V,g}} & \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}.
\end{array} \tag{1.9}$$

Finally, we introduce some notation for pullbacks of $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}$ by local biholomorphisms.

Definition 1.9. Let U, V be complex manifolds, $\Phi : U \to V$ an étale morphism, and $g : V \to \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function. Write $f = g \circ \Phi : U \to \mathbb{C}$, and $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, $Y = \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ as $\mathbb{C}$-submanifolds of U, V. Then $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$ is a local biholomorphism. Define an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{PV}_\Phi : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \longrightarrow \Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \quad \text{in } \mathrm{Perv}(X) \tag{1.10}$$

by the commutative diagram for each $c \in f(X) \subseteq g(Y)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}|_{X_c} = \phi^p_{f-c}(A_U[\dim U])|_{X_c} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \phi^p_{f-c} \circ \Phi^*(A_V[\dim V]))|_{X_c} \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{PV}_\Phi|_{X_c}} & & \beta\big\downarrow \\
\Phi|^*_{X_c}\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) & = & \Phi_0^* \circ \phi^p_{g-c} \circ (A_V[\dim V]))|_{X_c}.
\end{array} \tag{1.11}$$

Here α is ϕ^p_{f-c} applied to the canonical isomorphism $A_U \to \Phi^*(A_V)$, noting that $\dim U = \dim V$ as Φ is a local biholomorphism. By naturality of the isomorphisms α, β in (1.11) we find the following commute, where $\sigma_{U,f}, \tau_{U,f}$ are as in (1.5)–(1.6):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\sigma_{U,f}\qquad} & & \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{PV}_\Phi} & & & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{PV}_\Phi)} \\
\Phi|^*_X\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) & \xrightarrow{\Phi|^*_X(\sigma_{V,g})} & \Phi|^*_X\bigl(\mathbb{D}_Y(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g})\bigr) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{D}_X\bigl(\Phi|^*_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g})\bigr),
\end{array} \tag{1.12}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & \xrightarrow{\qquad\tau_{U,f}\qquad} & \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{PV}_\Phi} & & \mathcal{PV}_\Phi\big\downarrow \\
\Phi|^*_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi|^*_X(\tau_{V,g})} & \Phi|^*_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}).
\end{array} \tag{1.13}$$

If $U = V$, $f = g$ and $\Phi = \mathrm{id}_U$ then $\mathcal{PV}_{\mathrm{id}_U} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}}$. If W is another complex manifold, $\Psi : V \to W$ is a local biholomorphism, and $h : W \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic with $g = h \circ \Psi : V \to \mathbb{C}$, then composing (1.11) for Φ with $\Phi|^*_{X_c}$ of (1.11) for Ψ shows that

$$\mathcal{PV}_{\Psi\circ\Phi} = \Phi|_X^*(\mathcal{PV}_\Psi) \circ \mathcal{PV}_\Phi : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \longrightarrow (\Psi \circ \Phi)|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}\bigr). \tag{1.14}$$

That is, the isomorphisms $\mathcal{PV}_\Phi$ are functorial.

We conclude by saying that because of the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, all our results on perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles on complex analytic spaces over a well-behaved base ring A, translate immediately when $A=\mathbb{C}$ to the corresponding results for $\mathscr{D}$-modules of vanishing cycles, with no extra work. and also to mixed Hodge modules on complex analytic spaces, see [BBDJS, §2.9-2.10].

1.2 Stabilizing perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles

To set up notation for Theorem 1.13 below, we need the following theorem, which is proved in Joyce [Joyc1, Prop.s 2.15, 2.16 & 2.18].

Theorem 1.10 (Joyce [Joyc1])**.** *Let U,V be complex manifolds, $f:U\to\mathbb{C}$, $g:V\to\mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic, and $X=\mathrm{Crit}(f)$, $Y=\mathrm{Crit}(g)$ as complex analytic subspaces of U,V. Let $\Phi:U\hookrightarrow V$ be a closed embedding of complex manifolds with $f=g\circ\Phi:U\to\mathbb{C}$, and suppose $\Phi\vert_X:X\to V\supseteq Y$ is an isomorphism $\Phi\vert_X:X\to Y$. Then:*

(i) *For each $x\in X\subseteq U$ there exist open $U'\subseteq U$ and $V'\subseteq V$ with $x\in U'$ and $\Phi(U')\subseteq V'$, an open neighbourhood T of $0\in\mathbb{C}^n$ where $n=\dim V-\dim U$, and a biholomorphism $\alpha\times\beta:V'\to U'\times T$, such that*

$$(\alpha\times\beta)\circ\Phi\vert_{U'}=\mathrm{id}_{U'}\times 0:U'\longrightarrow U'\times T,$$

and $g\vert_{V'}=f\circ\alpha+(z_1^2+\cdots+z_n^2)\circ\beta:V'\to\mathbb{C}$. Thus, setting $f'=f\vert_{U'}:U'\to\mathbb{C}$, $g'=g\vert_{V'}:V'\to\mathbb{C}$, $\Phi'=\Phi\vert_{U'}:U'\to V'$, $X'=\mathrm{Crit}(f')\subseteq U'$, and $Y'=\mathrm{Crit}(g')\subseteq V'$, then $f'=g'\circ\Phi':U'\to\mathbb{C}$, and $\Phi'\vert_{X'}:X'\to Y'$, $\alpha\vert_{Y'}:Y'\to X'$ are biholomorphisms.

(ii) *Write N_{UV} for the normal bundle of $\Phi(U)$ in V, regarded as a vector bundle on U in the exact sequence of vector bundles on U:*

$$0\longrightarrow TU\xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}\Phi}\Phi^*(TU)\xrightarrow{\Pi_{UV}}N_{UV}\longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.15}$$

Then there exists a unique $q_{UV}\in H^0(S^2N_{UV}^*\vert_X)$ which is a nondegenerate quadratic form on $N_{UV}\vert_X$, such that whenever U',V',Φ',β,n,X' are as in **(i)**, *writing $\langle\mathrm{d}z_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}z_n\rangle_{U'}$ for the trivial vector bundle on U' with basis $\mathrm{d}z_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}z_n$, there is a natural isomorphism $\hat\beta:\langle\mathrm{d}z_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}z_n\rangle_{U'}\to N_{UV}^*\vert_{U'}$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_{UV}^*\vert_{U'}\xrightarrow[\Pi_{UV}^*\vert_{U'}]{}\Phi^*(T^*V)\vert_{U'}=\Phi'^*(T^*V\vert_{V'})\\
&\quad\uparrow\hat\beta\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\Phi'^*\downarrow\\
&\langle\mathrm{d}z_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}z_n\rangle_{U'}=\Phi'^*\circ\beta^*(T_0^*\mathbb{C}^n)\xrightarrow{\Phi'^*(\mathrm{d}\beta^*)}\Phi'^*(T^*V'),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

$$\text{and}\qquad q_{UV}\vert_{X'}=(S^2\hat\beta)\vert_{X'}(\mathrm{d}z_1\otimes\mathrm{d}z_1+\cdots+\mathrm{d}z_n\otimes\mathrm{d}z_n). \tag{1.17}$$

(iii) *Now suppose W is another complex manifold, $h:W\to\mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, $Z=\mathrm{Crit}(h)$ as a complex analytic subspace of W, and $\Psi:V\hookrightarrow W$ is a closed embedding of complex analytic subspaces with $g=h\circ\Psi:V\to\mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi\vert_Y:Y\to Z$ an isomorphism. Define N_{VW},q_{VW} and N_{UW},q_{UW} using $\Psi:V\hookrightarrow W$ and $\Psi\circ\Phi:U\hookrightarrow W$ as in* **(ii)** *above. Then there are unique morphisms $\gamma_{UVW},\delta_{UVW}$ which make the following diagram of vector bundles on U commute, with straight lines exact:*



(1.18)

Restricting to X gives an exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{UV}|_X \xrightarrow{\gamma_{UVW}|_X} N_{UW}|_X \xrightarrow{\delta_{UVW}|_X} \Phi|_X^*(N_{VW}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.19}$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism of vector bundles on X

$$N_{UW}|_X \cong N_{UV}|_X \oplus \Phi|_X^*(N_{VW}), \tag{1.20}$$

compatible with the exact sequence (1.19)*, which identifies*

$$\begin{aligned} q_{UW} &\cong q_{UV} \oplus \Phi|_X^*(q_{VW}) \oplus 0 \qquad \text{under the splitting} \\ S^2N_{UW}|_X^* &\cong S^2N_{UV}|_X^* \oplus \Phi|_X^*\big(S^2N_{VW}^*|_Y\big) \oplus \big(N_{UV}^*|_X \otimes \Phi|_X^*(N_{VW}^*)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

Following [Joyc1, Def.s 2.19 & 2.24], we define:

Definition 1.11. Let U, V be complex manifolds, $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic, and $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, $Y = \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ as complex manifolds of U, V. Suppose $\Phi : U \hookrightarrow V$ is a closed embedding of complex manifolds with $f = g \circ \Phi : U \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$ an isomorphism. Then Theorem 1.10(ii) defines the normal bundle N_{UV} of U in V, a vector bundle on U of rank $n = \dim V - \dim U$, and a nondegenerate quadratic form $q_{UV} \in H^0(S^2N_{UV}^*|_X)$. Taking top exterior powers in the dual of (1.15) gives an isomorphism of line bundles on U

$$\rho_{UV} : K_U \otimes \Lambda^n N_{UV}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi^*(K_V),$$

where K_U, K_V are the canonical bundles of U, V.

Write X^{red} for the reduced subspace of X. As q_{UV} is a nondegenerate quadratic form on $N_{UV}|_X$, its determinant $\det(q_{UV})$ is a nonzero section of $(\Lambda^n N_{UV}^*)|_X^{\otimes^2}$. Define an isomorphism of line bundles on X^{red}:

$$J_\Phi = \rho_{UV}^{\otimes^2} \circ \big(\mathrm{id}_{K_U^2|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}} \otimes \det(q_{UV})|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}\big) : K_U^{\otimes^2}\big|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big(K_V^{\otimes^2}\big). \tag{1.22}$$

Since principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles $\pi : P \to X$ in the sense of Definition 1.5 are a complex analytic topological notion, and X^{red} and X have the same topological space, principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles on X^{red} and on X are equivalent. Define $\pi_\Phi : P_\Phi \to X$ to be the principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle which parametrizes square roots of J_Φ on X^{red}. That is, complex analytic local sections $s_\alpha : X \to P_\Phi$ of P_Φ correspond to local isomorphisms $\alpha : K_U|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \to \Phi|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}^*(K_V)$ on X^{red} with $\alpha \otimes \alpha = J_\Phi$.

Now suppose W is another complex manifold, $h : W \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, $Z = \mathrm{Crit}(h)$ as a complex analytic subspace of W, and $\Psi : V \hookrightarrow W$ is a closed embedding of complex manifolds with $g = h \circ \Psi : V \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi|_Y : Y \to Z$ an isomorphism. Then Theorem 1.10(iii) applies, and from (1.20)–(1.21) we can deduce that

$$J_{\Psi\circ\Phi} = \Phi|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}^*(J_\Psi) \circ J_\Phi : K_U^{\otimes^2}\big|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\Psi \circ \Phi)|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big(K_W^{\otimes^2}\big) = \Phi|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big[\Psi|_{Y^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big(K_W^{\otimes^2}\big)\big]. \tag{1.23}$$

For the principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles $\pi_\Phi : P_\Phi \to X$, $\pi_\Psi : P_\Psi \to Y$, $\pi_{\Psi\circ\Phi} : P_{\Psi\circ\Phi} \to X$, equation (1.23) implies that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Xi_{\Psi,\Phi} : P_{\Psi\circ\Phi} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi|_X^*(P_\Psi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi. \tag{1.24}$$

It is also easy to see that these $\Xi_{\Psi,\Phi}$ have an associativity property under triple compositions, that is, given another complex manifold T, holomorphic $e : T \to \mathbb{C}$ with $Q := \mathrm{Crit}(e)$, and $\Upsilon : T \hookrightarrow U$ a closed embedding with $e = f \circ \Upsilon : T \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\Upsilon|_Q : Q \to X$ an isomorphism, then

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\mathrm{id}_{(\Phi\circ\Upsilon)|_Q^*(P_\Psi)} \otimes \Xi_{\Phi,\Upsilon}\big) \circ \Xi_{\Psi,\Phi\circ\Upsilon} = \big(\Upsilon|_Q^*(\Xi_{\Psi,\Phi}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{P_\Upsilon}\big) \circ \Xi_{\Psi\circ\Phi,\Upsilon} : \\ P_{\Psi\circ\Phi\circ\Upsilon} \longrightarrow (\Phi \circ \Upsilon)|_Q^*(P_\Psi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Upsilon|_Q^*(P_\Phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Upsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

The reason for restricting to $X^{\rm red}$ above is the following [Joyc1, Prop. 2.20], whose proof uses the fact that $X^{\rm red}$ is reduced in an essential way.

Lemma 1.12. *In Definition* 1.11, *the isomorphism* J_Φ *in* (1.22) *and the principal* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-bundle* $\pi_\Phi : P_\Phi \to X$ *depend only on* U, V, X, Y, f, g *and* $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$. *That is, they do not depend on* $\Phi : U \to V$ *apart from* $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$.

Using the notation of Definition 1.11, we can restate Theorem 5.4 in [BBDJS]:

Theorem 1.13. (a) *Let* U, V *be complex manifolds,* $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : V \to \mathbb{C}$ *be holomorphic, and* $X = \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, $Y = \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ *as complex analytic subspaces of* U, V. *Let* $\Phi : U \hookrightarrow V$ *be a closed embedding of complex analytic subspaces with* $f = g \circ \Phi : U \to \mathbb{C}$, *and suppose* $\Phi|_X : X \to V \supseteq Y$ *is an isomorphism* $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$. *Then there is a natural isomorphism of perverse sheaves on* X:

$$\Theta_\Phi : \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} \longrightarrow \Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi, \tag{1.26}$$

where $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}, \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}$ *are the perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles from* §1.1, *and* P_Φ *the principal* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-bundle from Definition* 1.11, *and if* $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ *is a perverse sheaf on* X *then* $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi$ *is as in Definition* 1.5. *Also the following diagrams commute, where* $\sigma_{U,f}, \sigma_{V,g}, \tau_{U,f}, \tau_{V,g}$ *are as in* (1.5)–(1.6):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\Phi} & \Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{U,f}} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi|_X^*(\sigma_{V,g})\otimes\mathrm{id}} \\
\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{D}_X(\Theta_\Phi)} & \mathbb{D}_X\bigl(\Phi|_X^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi\bigr),
\end{array} \tag{1.27}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\Phi} & \Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{U,f}} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi|_X^*(\tau_{V,g})\otimes\mathrm{id}} \\
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\Phi} & \Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi.
\end{array} \tag{1.28}$$

If $U = V$, $f = g$, $\Phi = \mathrm{id}_U$ *then* $\pi_\Phi : P_\Phi \to X$ *is trivial, and* Θ_Φ *corresponds to* $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}}$ *under the natural isomorphism* $\mathrm{id}_X^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi \cong \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$.

(b) *The isomorphism* Θ_Φ *in* (1.26) *depends only on* U, V, X, Y, f, g *and* $\Phi|_X : X \to Y$. *That is, if* $\tilde\Phi : U \to V$ *is an alternative choice for* Φ *with* $\Phi|_X = \tilde\Phi|_X : X \to Y$, *then* $\Theta_\Phi = \Theta_{\tilde\Phi}$, *noting that* $P_\Phi = P_{\tilde\Phi}$ *by Lemma* 1.12.

(c) *Now suppose* W *is another complex manifold,* $h : W \to \mathbb{C}$ *is holomorphic,* $Z = \mathrm{Crit}(h)$, *and* $\Psi : V \hookrightarrow W$ *is a closed embedding with* $g = h \circ \Psi : V \to \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\Psi|_Y : Y \to Z$ *an isomorphism. Then Definition* 1.11 *defines principal* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-bundles* $\pi_\Phi : P_\Phi \to X$, $\pi_\Psi : P_\Psi \to Y$, $\pi_{\Psi\circ\Phi} : P_{\Psi\circ\Phi} \to X$ *and an isomorphism* $\Xi_{\Psi,\Phi}$ *in* (1.24), *and part* **(a)** *defines isomorphisms of perverse sheaves* $\Theta_\Phi, \Theta_{\Psi\circ\Phi}$ *on* X *and* Θ_Ψ *on* Y. *Then the following commutes in* $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\Psi\circ\Phi}} & (\Psi\circ\Phi)|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_{\Psi\circ\Phi} \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_\Phi} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\Xi_{\Psi,\Phi}} \\
\Phi|_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi & \xrightarrow{\Phi|_X^*(\Theta_\Psi)\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \Phi|_X^*\circ\Psi|_Y^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Phi|_X^*(P_\Psi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi.
\end{array} \tag{1.29}$$

1.3 Complex Lagrangian intersections in complex symplectic manifolds

We will start with a basic definition to fix the notation:

Definition 1.14. Let (S, ω) be a *symplectic manifold*, i.e., a complex manifold S endowed with a closed non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2_S$. Denote the complex dimension of S by $2n$.

A complex submanifold $M \subset S$ is *Lagrangian* if the restriction of the symplectic form ω on S to a 2-form on M vanishes and $\dim M = n$.

Holomorphic coordinates, $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ on an open subset $S' \subset S$ in the complex analytic topology, are called *Darboux coordinates* if $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{d}y_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_i$.

Definition 1.15. Given an n-dimensional manifold N, let us denote by $S = T^*N$ its cotangent bundle. For any chosen point $p \in U \subset N$, for U an open subset of N containing x, let us denote by $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ a set of coordinates. Then for any $x \in U$, the differentials $(\mathrm{d}x_1)_x, \ldots, (\mathrm{d}x_n)_x$ form a basis of $T^*_x N$.

Namely, if $y \in T^*_x N$ then $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (\mathrm{d}x_i)_x$ for some complex coefficients $y_1, \ldots, y_n$. This induces a set of coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ on T^*U, so a coordinate chart for T^*N, induced by $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on U. It is well known that transition functions on the overlaps are holomorphic and this gives the structure of a complex manifold of dimension $2n$ to T^*N.

Next, one can define a 2-form on T^*U by $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{d}x_i \wedge \mathrm{d}y_i$. It is easy to check that the definition is coordinate-independent. Define the 1-form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_i$. Clearly $\omega = -\mathrm{d}\alpha$, and α is intrinsically defined. The 1-form α is called in literature the *Liouville form*, and the 2-form ω is the *canonical symplectic form*.

Next, we will review symmetric obstruction theories on Lagrangian intersections from [BeFa], and we state a crucial definition for our program.

Let (S, ω) be a complex symplectic manifold as above, and $L, M \subseteq S$ be Lagrangian submanifolds. Let $X = L \cap M$ be the intersection as a complex analytic space. Then X carries a canonical symmetric obstruction theory $\varphi : E^\bullet \to \mathbb{L}_X$ in the sense of [BeFa], which can be represented by the complex $E^\bullet \simeq [T^*S|_X \to T^*L|_X \oplus T^*M|_X]$ with $T^*S|_X$ in degree -1 and $T^*L|_X \oplus T^*M|_X$ in degree zero. Hence

$$\det(E^\bullet) \cong K_S|_X^{-1} \otimes K_L|_X \otimes K_M|_X \cong K_L|_X \otimes K_M|_X, \tag{1.30}$$

since $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$. This motivates the following:

Definition 1.16. We define an *orientation* of a complex Lagrangian submanifold L to be a choice of square root line bundle $K_L^{1/2}$ for K_L.

Remark 1.17. The previous definition is inspired by [BBDJS] and close to 'orientation data' in Kontsevich and Soibelman [KoSo1]. We point out that *spin structure* could have been a better choice of name than orientation, but we use orientations for consistency with [BBDJS, BBJ, BJM, Joyc1]. Also, for *real* Lagrangians, a square root $K_L^{1/2}$ induces an orientation on L in the usual sense.

Now, we recall well known established results in complex symplectic geometry which will be used to prove our main results. We start with the *complex Darboux theorem*.

Theorem 1.18. *Let (S, ω) be a complex symplectic manifold. Then locally in the complex analytic topology around a point $p \in S$ is always possible to choose holomorphic Darboux coordinates.*

So, basically, every symplectic manifold S is locally isomorphic to the cotangent bundle T^*N of a manifold N. The fibres of the induced vector bundle structure on S are Lagrangian submanifolds, so complex analytically locally defining on S a foliation by Lagrangian submanifolds, i.e., a *polarization*:

Definition 1.19. A *polarization* of a symplectic manifold (S, ω) is a holomorphic Lagrangian fibration $\pi : S' \to E$, where $S' \subseteq S$ is open.

Note that it is always possible to choose locally near a point $p \in S$ in the complex analytic topology Darboux coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ and compatible coordinates x_i on E such that π can be identified with the projection $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n) \to (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

We will usually consider polarizations which are *transverse* to the Lagrangians whose intersection we wish to study. The point of the transversality condition is that we have a canonical one-to-one correspondence between sections s of the polarization E for the symplectic manifold (S, ω), such that $s^*(\omega) = 0$ and Lagrangian submanifolds of S transverse to E. Moreover, in terms of coordinates, near every point of a Lagrangian $M \subset S$

transverse to the polarization E there exists a set of Darboux coordinates $(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n)$ such that $M=\{y_1=\cdots=y_n=0\}$, $E=\langle \mathrm{d}x_1,\dots,\mathrm{d}x_n\rangle$ and the Euler form s of M inside E is given by $s=\sum_i y_i\mathrm{d}x_i$.

If L,M are complex Lagrangian submanifolds in (S,ω), and we consider the projection

$$\pi:(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n)\to(x_1,\dots,x_n)$$

defining local coordinates on L, then we can always assume to choose such coordinates x_i,y_i transverse to L,M at a point, and transverse to other coordinate systems too. In other words, we are using the projection π as a polarization, and we assume that the leaves are transverse to the two Lagrangians, so that L and M turn into the graphs of 1-forms on N. The Lagrangian condition implies that these 1-forms on N are closed.

Recall now the *Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem*:

Theorem 1.20. *If $M\subset(S,\omega)$ is a complex Lagrangian submanifold, then there exists a complex analytic neighbourhood $V\subset M$ of a point $p\in M$ isomorphic as a complex symplectic manifold to a neighbourhood U of p in T^*M, and M is identified with the zero section in T^*M.*

Note that (S,ω) need not be isomorphic to T^*M in a neighbourhood of M, but just in a neighbourhood of a point $p\in M$.

So, we may assume that one of these 1-forms is the zero section of T^*N, hence identify locally M with N. By making L smaller if necessary, we may assume that the closed 1-form defined by L is exact, and L is the graph of the 1-form $\mathrm{d}f$, for a holomorphic function f defined locally on some open submanifold $M'\subset M$, as the following lemma states:

Lemma 1.21. *Choose locally near a point $p\in S$ in the complex analytic topology Darboux coordinates $(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n)$ and compatible coordinates x_i on E such that $\pi:S\to E$ can be identified with the projection $(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n)\to(x_1,\dots,x_n)$. Now, given a polarization $(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n)\to(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ defining local coordinates on L, then L is given by*

$$\left\{\left(x_1,\dots,x_n,\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x_1},\dots,\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x_n}\right):\ x_1,\dots,x_n\in M'\right\}$$

for a holomorphic function $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ defined locally on an open $M'\subset M\subset S$, where M is the Lagrangian submanifold identified with the zero section, and the polarization π with projection $T^*M\to M$.

So, in conclusion, if $\pi:S'\to E$ is a polarization, and M a Lagrangian submanifold with $\pi:M\to E$ transverse near x in M, then locally there is a unique isomorphism $S'\cong T^*M$ identifying M with zero section and π with projection $T^*M\to M$. Then any other Lagrangian L in S transverse to π is locally described by the graph of $\mathrm{d}f$, for a holomorphic function f locally defined on M. It is now straightforward to deduce that, as M is graph of 0, and L is graph of $\mathrm{d}f$, then the intersection $X=L\cap M$ is the critical locus $(\mathrm{d}f)^{-1}(0)$.

We can summarize in this way. Let (S,ω) be a complex symplectic manifold, and $L,M\subseteq S$ be Lagrangian submanifolds. Let $X=L\cap M$ be the intersection as a complex analytic space. Then X is complex analytically locally modeled on the zero locus of the 1-form $\mathrm{d}f$, that is on the critical locus $\mathrm{Crit}(f:U\to\mathbb{C})$ for a holomorphic function f on a smooth manifold U. So, X carries a natural perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$ in the notation of §1.1, and a natural problem to investigate is the following. Given open $R_i,R_j\subseteq S$ with isomorphisms $R_i\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f_i)$, $R_j\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f_j)$ for holomorphic $f_i:U_i\to\mathbb{C}$ and $f_j:U_j\to\mathbb{C}$, we have to understand whether the perverse sheaves $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_i}=\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_i,f_i}$ on R_i and $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_j}=\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_j,f_j}$ on R_j are isomorphic over $R_i\cap R_j$, and if so, whether the isomorphism is canonical, for only then can we hope to glue the $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{R_i}$ for $i\in I$ to make $\mathcal{P}^\bullet_{L,M}$.

We will develop this program in §2.

2 Constructing canonical global perverse sheaves on Lagrangian intersections

We can state our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (S,ω) be a complex symplectic manifold and L,M oriented complex Lagrangian submanifolds in S, and write $X=L\cap M$, as a complex analytic subspace of S. Then we may define $P^\bullet_{L,M}\in\mathrm{Perv}(X)$, uniquely up to canonical isomorphism, and isomorphisms*

$$\Sigma_{L,M}:P^\bullet_{L,M}\to\mathbb{D}_X(P^\bullet_{L,M}),\qquad \mathrm{T}_{L,M}:P^\bullet_{L,M}\to P^\bullet_{L,M},\tag{2.1}$$

respectively the Verdier duality and the monodromy isomorphisms. These $P^\bullet_{L,M}\in\mathrm{Perv}(X),\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ are locally characterized by the following property.

Given a choice of local Darboux coordinates $(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ in the sense of Definition 1.14 such that L is locally identified in coordinates with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}$ of $\mathrm{d}f$ for f a holomorphic function defined locally on an open $U\subset\mathbb{C}^n$, and M is locally identified in coordinates with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}$ of $\mathrm{d}g$ for g a holomorphic function defined locally on U, and the orientations $K_L^{1/2},K_M^{1/2}$ are the trivial square roots of $K_L\cong\langle\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_n\rangle\cong K_M$, then there is a canonical isomorphism $P^\bullet_{L,M}\cong\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,g-f}$, where $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,g-f}$ is the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles of $g-f$, and $\Sigma_{L,M}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ are respectively the Verdier duality $\sigma_{U,g-f}$ and the monodromy $\tau_{U,g-f}$ introduced in §1.

The same applies for $\mathscr{D}$-modules and mixed Hodge modules on X.

A convenient way to express this is in terms of *charts*, by which we mean a set of data locally defined by the choice of a polarization $\pi:S\to E$. Charts are analogous to *critical charts* defined by [Joyc1, §2], as in §3.1. We will show in §3.2 that they are actually critical charts and they define the structure of a d-critical locus on the Lagrangian intersection, but for this section we will not use it.

We explained in §1.3 that the local choice of a polarization on (S,ω) yields a local description of the Lagrangian intersection as a critical locus $P\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for a closed embedding $i:P\hookrightarrow U$, P open in X, and a holomorphic function $f:U\subset L\to\mathbb{C}$, where U is an open submanifold of L. We have a local symplectic identification $S\cong T^*U\subseteq T^*L$, which identifies $L\subset S$ with the zero section in T^*L, and $M\subset S$ with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f}$ of $\mathrm{d}f$, and $\pi:S\to E\cong L$ with the projection $T^*L\to L$. So, for each polarization $\pi:S\to E$ we have naturally induced a set of data (P,U,f,i), which we will call an *L-chart*. We will also consider *M-charts*, namely charts coming from polarizations that identify the other Lagrangian M with the zero section, that is, charts like (Q,V,g,j) where $Q\cong\mathrm{Crit}(g)$ for a closed embedding $j:Q\hookrightarrow V$, Q open in X, and a holomorphic function $g:V\subset M\to\mathbb{C}$, where V is an open submanifold of M. We have a local symplectic identification $S\cong T^*V\subseteq T^*M$, which identifies $M\subset S$ with the zero section in T^*M, and $L\subset S$ with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g}$ of $\mathrm{d}g$, and $\pi:S\to E\cong M$ with the projection $T^*M\to M$. We will use also *LM-charts*.

Using this general technique, let us fix the following notation we will use for the rest of the paper. We will consider mainly three kinds of *charts*, where by charts we basically mean a set of data associated to a choice of one or two polarizations for our symplectic complex manifold (S,ω):

(*a*) *L-charts* (P,U,f,i) are induced by a polarizations $\pi:S'\to E$ transverse to L,M with $S'\subset S$ open, $P\subset X$ open, and $U\subset L$ open, and $f:U\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, and $i:P\hookrightarrow U\subset L$ the inclusion, with $i:P\to\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ an isomorphism, so that we have local identifications

- $(S,\omega)=T^*U$;
- $L=$ zero section;
- $M=\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f}$;
- $E=L=U$;
- $\pi:S\to E$ with $\pi:T^*U\to U$.

(*b*) *M-charts* (Q,V,g,j) are induced by a polarization $\tilde\pi:\tilde S\to F$ transverse to L,M, with $\tilde S\subset S$ open, $Q\subset X$ open, and $V\subset M$ open, and $g:V\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, and $j:Q\hookrightarrow V\subset M$ the inclusion, with $j:Q\to\mathrm{Crit}(g)$ an isomorphism, so that we have local identifications

- $(S,-\omega)=T^*V$;
- $M=$ zero section;
- $L=\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g}$;
- $F=M=V$;
- $\tilde\pi: S\to F$ with $\pi: T^*V\to V$.

(c) *LM-charts* (R,W,h,k) are induced by polarizations $\pi: S'\to E$, $\tilde\pi:\tilde S\to F$ transverse to L,M and to each other on $\hat S=S'\cap\tilde S$. We have $W\subset L\times M$ open, $h: W\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, $k: R\to W\subset L\times M$ the diagonal map, with $k: R\to\mathrm{Crit}(h)$ an isomorphism, and local identifications

- $(S',\omega)\times(\tilde S,-\omega)=T^*W$;
- $L\times M=$ zero section;
- diagonal $\Delta_S=\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h}$;
- $E\times F=L\times M=W$;
- $\pi\times\tilde\pi:\hat S\to E\times F$ with $\pi: T^*W\to W$.

Note that $R=P\cap Q$. These three kinds of charts will be related by Proposition 2.2, which will give an embedding from an open subset of an L-chart (P,U,f,i) into an LM-chart (R,W,h,k), and similarly an embedding from an open subset of an M-chart (Q,V,g,j) into (R,W,h,k).

Moreover, we will explain in §2.1 that the choice of a polarization $\pi: S\to E$ naturally induces local biholomorphisms $L\xrightarrow{\cong}M$ or $M\xrightarrow{\cong}L$, and thus isomorphisms

$$\Theta: K_L|_X\xrightarrow{\cong}K_M|_X\quad\text{or}\quad\Xi: K_M|_X\xrightarrow{\cong}K_L|_X \tag{2.2}$$

between the canonical bundles of the Lagrangian submanifolds. We define $\pi_{P,U,f,i}: Q_{P,U,f,i}\to P$ to be the principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle parametrizing local isomorphisms

$$\vartheta: K_L^{1/2}|_X\xrightarrow{\cong}K_M^{1/2}|_X\quad\text{or}\quad\xi: K_M^{1/2}|_X\xrightarrow{\cong}K_L^{1/2}|_X \tag{2.3}$$

such that $\vartheta\otimes\vartheta=\Theta$ or $\xi\otimes\xi=\Xi$.

Also, on each L-chart, M-chart, LM-chart, we have a natural perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles associated to the local description of the Lagrangian intersection as a critical locus. So we get a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $i^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f})$ on P, $j^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g})$ on Q, and $k^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h})$ on R. These perverse sheaves together with principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles parametrizing square roots of isomorphisms (2.2) are the objects we want to glue.

Then $P^\bullet_{L,M}\in\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ is characterized by the following properties:

(i) If (P,U,f,i) is a an L-chart, M-chart, or LM-chart, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\omega_{P,U,f,i}: P^\bullet_{L,M}|_P\longrightarrow i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i},. \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore the following commute in $\mathrm{Perv}(P)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P^\bullet_{L,M}|_P & \xrightarrow[\omega_{P,U,f,i}]{} & i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i}\\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma_{L,M}|_P} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*(\sigma_{U,f})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{Q_{P,U,f,i}}}\\
\mathbb{D}_P\big(P^\bullet_{L,M}|_P\big) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{D}_P(\omega_{P,U,f,i})} & i^*\big(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Crit}(f)}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f})\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i}\\
 & & \cong\mathbb{D}_P\big(i^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i}\big),
\end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P^\bullet_{L,M}|_P & \xrightarrow[\omega_{P,U,f,i}]{} & i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i}\\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{T}_{L,M}|_P} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*(\tau_{U,f})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{Q_{P,U,f,i}}}\\
P^\bullet_{L,M}|_R & \xrightarrow{\omega_{P,U,f,i}} & i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P,U,f,i}.
\end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) Let $\pi : S' \to E$ and $\tilde\pi : \tilde S \to F$ be polarizations transverse to L, M, and transverse to each other on $S' \cap \tilde S$. Then from π we get an L-chart (P, U, f, i), from $\tilde\pi$ we get an M-chart (Q, V, g, j), and from π and $\tilde\pi$ together we get an LM-chart (R, W, h, k). Write (P', U', f', i') for the L-chart determined by $\pi|_{S'\cap\tilde S} : S' \cap \tilde S \to E$, and (Q', V', g', i') for the M-chart determined by $\tilde\pi|_{S'\cap\tilde S} : S' \cap \tilde S \to F$. Then $P' \subseteq P$, $U' \subseteq U$ are open and $f' = f|_{U'}$, $i' = i|_{P'}$, so (P', U', f', i') is a *subchart* of (P, U, f, i) in the sense of §3.1. We write this as $(P', U', f', i') \subseteq (P, U, f, i)$. Similarly, $(Q', V', g', j') \subseteq (Q, V, g, j)$. Also $P' = Q' = R = X \cap S' \cap \tilde S$.

In this situation, Proposition 2.2 will show that there exist closed embeddings $\Phi : U' \hookrightarrow W$ and $\Psi : V' \hookrightarrow W$ such that so that $h \circ \Phi = f : U' \to \mathbb{C}$ and $h \circ \Psi = g : V' \to \mathbb{C}$. Moreover $\mathrm{Crit}(f) \cong \mathrm{Crit}(h) \cong \mathrm{Crit}(g)$ as complex analytic spaces, and f, h and g, h are pairs of *stably equivalent* functions, as explained in §1.2. Inspired by [Joyc1, Def. 2.18], we will say that $\Phi : (P', U', f', i') \hookrightarrow (R, W, h, k)$ is an embedding of charts if Φ is a locally closed embedding $U' \hookrightarrow W$ of complex manifolds such that $\Phi \circ i' = k|_{P'} : P' \to W$ and $f = h \circ \Phi : U' \to \mathbb{C}$. As a shorthand we write $\Phi : (P', U', f', i') \hookrightarrow (R, W, h, k)$ to mean Φ is an embedding of (P', U', f', i') in (R, W, h, k). In brief, Proposition 2.2 in §2.1 will define two embeddings of charts $\Phi : (P', U', f', i') \hookrightarrow (R, W, h, k)$ and $\Psi : (Q', V', g', j') \hookrightarrow (R, W, h, k)$.

Given the embedding of charts $\Phi : (P', U', f', i') \hookrightarrow (R, W, h, k)$, there is a natural isomorphism of principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles

$$\Lambda_\Phi : Q_{R,W,h,k}|_{P'} \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(P_\Phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P',U',f',i'} \tag{2.7}$$

on P', for P_Φ defined as follows: local isomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha : K_X^{1/2}|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}} \to i^*(K_{U'})|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}},\ \beta : K_X^{1/2}|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}} \to j'^*(K_W)|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}}, \\ \gamma : i'^*(K_{U'})|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}} \to j^*(K_W)|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}} \end{gathered} \tag{2.8}$$

with $\alpha \otimes \alpha = \iota_{P',U',f',i'}$, $\beta \otimes \beta = \iota_{R,W,h,k}|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}}$, $\gamma \otimes \gamma = i|^*_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}}(J_\Phi)$ correspond to local sections $s_\alpha : P' \to Q_{P',U',f',i'}$, $s_\beta : P' \to Q_{R,W,h,k}|_{P'}$, $s_\gamma : P' \to i'^*(P_\Phi)$, for J_Φ as in Definition 1.11, and for isomorphisms $\iota_{R,W,h,k} : K_X \to i^*(K_W^{\otimes 2})|_{P'^{\mathrm{red}}}$ induced by the polarization $E_1 \times E_2$.

Then for each embedding of charts, the following diagram commutes in $\mathrm{Perv}(P')$, for Θ_Φ as in (1.26):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^\bullet_{L,M}|_{P'} & \xrightarrow[\omega_{P',U',f',i'}]{} & i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U',f'}\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P',U',f',i'} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \omega_{R,W,h,k}|_{P'}} & & {\scriptstyle i'^*(\Theta_\Phi)\otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q_{P',U',f',i'}}}\Big\downarrow \\ j^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}\big)|_{P'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{R,W,h,k}|_{P'} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{j^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h})}\otimes\Lambda_\Phi} & i^*\big(\Phi|^*_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Phi\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P',U',f',i'}. \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

We will have an analogous commutative diagram induced by Ψ on $\mathrm{Perv}(Q')$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^\bullet_{L,M}|_{Q'} & \xrightarrow[\omega_{Q',V',g',j'}]{} & i^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{Q',V',g',j'} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \omega_{R,W,h,k}|_{Q'}} & & {\scriptstyle j'^*(\Theta_\Psi)\otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q_{Q',V',g',j'}}}\Big\downarrow \\ j'^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}\big)|_{Q'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{R,W,h,k}|_{Q'} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{j'^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h})}\otimes\Lambda_\Psi} & i^*\big(\Psi|^*_X(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_\Psi\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{Q',V',g',j'}. \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

Using Theorem 1.13, we get isomorphisms

$$\alpha : (i'^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U',f'}) \otimes Q_{P',U',f',i'})|_R \cong (k^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}) \otimes Q_{R,W,h,k}),$$

$$\beta : (j'^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V',g'}) \otimes Q_{Q',V',g',j'})|_R \cong (k^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W,h}) \otimes Q_{R,W,h,k}).$$

Combining these, we get an isomorphism

$$\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha : (i'^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U',f'}) \otimes Q_{P',U',f',i'})|_R \cong (j'^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V',g'}) \otimes Q_{Q',V',g',j'})|_R, \tag{2.11}$$

that is, an isomorphism of perverse sheaves from L-charts and M-charts in $\mathrm{Perv}(P'\cap Q')$. Later, in §2.2 we will involve also two other polarizations for an associativity result. More precisely, following notation of §2.2 we want that if we have two L-charts (P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1) and (P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3) and two M-charts (Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2) and (Q_4,V_4,g_4,j_4) then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{32}|_Y^{-1}\circ\beta_{32}|_Y\circ\beta_{12}|_Y^{-1}\circ\alpha_{12}|_Y=\alpha_{34}|_Y^{-1}\circ\beta_{34}|_Y\circ\beta_{14}|_Y^{-1}\circ\alpha_{14}|_Y:\\ \bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}\bigr)|_Y\longrightarrow\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_3,f_3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3}\bigr)|_Y.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Theorem 2.1 will be proved in §2.1–§2.3. In §2.3, we will provide a descent argument, which is the most technical part of the paper. We find useful to outline here our method of the proof.

Let $\{U_a\}_{a\in I}$ be an analytic open cover for $X=L\cap M$, induced by polarizations $\pi_a:S_a\to E_a$ for $a\in I$, transverse to both L and M, and write $U_{ab}=U_a\cap U_b$ for $a,b\in I$. Similarly, write $U_{abc}=U_a\cap U_b\cap U_c$ for $a,b,c\in I$. Define $\mathcal{P}_a$ to be $i_a^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_a,f_a})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a}$ from the discussion above, and isomorphisms $\gamma_{ab}:\mathcal{P}_a^\bullet|_{U_{ab}}\to\mathcal{P}_b^\bullet|_{U_{ab}}$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_{ab})$ for all $a,b\in I$ with $\beta_{aa}=\mathrm{id}$ and

$$\gamma_{bc}|_{U_{abc}}\circ\gamma_{ab}|_{U_{abc}}=\gamma_{ac}|_{U_{abc}}:\mathcal{P}_a|_{U_{abc}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{P}_c|_{U_{abc}}$$

in $\mathrm{Perv}(U_{abc})$ for all $a,b,c\in I$.

The construction is independent of the choice of $\{U_a\}_{a\in I}$ above. Then by Theorem 1.4, there exists $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$, unique up to canonical isomorphism, with isomorphisms $\omega_a:\mathcal{P}^\bullet|_{U_a}\to\mathcal{P}_a^\bullet$ for each $a\in I$, satisfying $\gamma_{ab}\circ\omega_a|_{U_{ab}}=\omega_b|_{U_{ab}}:\mathcal{P}^\bullet|_{U_{ab}}\to\mathcal{P}_b^\bullet|_{U_{ab}}$ for all $a,b\in I$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1. We will carry out this program in §2.1–§2.3.

Theorem 2.1 resolves a long-standing question in the categorification of Lagrangian intersection number: our perverse sheaf $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ categorifies Lagrangian intersection numbers, in the sense that the constructible function

$$p\to\sum_i(-1)^{i-2n}\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^i_{\{p\}}(X,P^\bullet_{L,M}),$$

is equal to the well known Behrend function ν_X in [Behr] by construction, using the expression of the Behrend function of a critical locus in terms of the Milnor fibre, as in [Behr], and so

$$\chi(X,\nu_X)=\sum_i(-1)^{i-2n}\dim_A\mathbb{H}^i(X,P^\bullet_{L,M}),\tag{2.13}$$

for a base ring A, which in this case is a field. If the intersection X is compact, then $[L]\cap[M]$ is given by (2.13), where $[L],[M]$ are the homology classes of L and M in S.

Moreover, our construction may have exciting far reaching applications in symplectic geometry and topological field theory, as discussed in §4.

2.1 Canonical isomorphism of perverse sheaves on double overlaps

Given a complex symplectic manifold (S,ω) and Lagrangian submanifolds L, M in S, define X to be their intersection as a complex analytic space. Using results in §1.3, locally in the complex analytic topology near a point $x\in X$, we can choose an open set $S'\subset S$ and a polarization transverse to both L and M such that $S'\cong T^*L$ and $M\cong\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f}$ so that $X=\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for a holomorphic function f defined on $U=L\cap S'$. Thus we get a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycle $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$. In this section we will investigate how two such local descriptions are related.

Consider $\pi_1,\pi_2:S_1,S_2\to E_1,E_2$ two polarizations transverse to each other and both transverse to both L and M. Choose open neighbourhoods U_1 of $X\cap S_1$ in $L\cap S_1$ with $\pi_1(U_1)\subset\pi_1(M\cap S_1)$ and V_2 of $X\cap S_2$ in $M\cap S_2$ with $\pi_2(V_2)\subset\pi_2(L\cap S_2)$. Then we get respectively the local identifications

$$\begin{gathered}U_1\cong\pi_1(U_1)\subset E_1,\ S_1\supset\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(U_1))\cong T^*U_1,\ L\cap\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(U_1))\cong\Gamma_0,\\ M\cap\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(U_1))\cong\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_1},\ f_1:U_1\to\mathbb{C},\end{gathered}$$

$$V_1 \cong \pi_2(V_2) \subset E_2,\ \bar{S}_2 \supset \pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(V_2)) \cong T^*V_2,\ M \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(V_2)) \cong \Gamma_0,$$
$$L \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(V_2)) \cong \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g_2},\ g_2 : V_2 \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Choose an open neighbourhood W_{12} of $\{(x,x) : x \in X \cap S_1 \cap S_2\}$ in $U_1 \times V_2$ with $(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)(W_{12}) \subset (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)(\Delta_S \cap (S_1 \times S_2))$. Choose open neighbourhoods U_1' of $X \cap S_1 \cap S_2$ in U_1 with $\{(l, \pi_2|_M^{-1} \circ \pi_2(l)) : l \in U_1'\} \subset W_{12}$ and V_2' of $X \cap S_1 \cap S_2$ in V_2 with $\{(\pi_1|_L^{-1} \circ \pi_1(m)) : m \in V_2'\} \subset W_{12}$. Then we have:

Proposition 2.2. *In the situation above, starting from polarizations $\pi_1, \pi_2 : S_1, S_2 \to E_1, E_2$ and defining $f_1 : U_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ using π_1 and $g_2 : V_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ using π_2 and using the given notation, there exists locally a holomorphic function $h_{12} : W_{12} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_1' & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{12}=\mathrm{id}_{U_1'} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}} & W_{12} & \xleftarrow{\Psi_{12}=\pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{V_2'}} & V_2' \\ & {\scriptstyle f_1|_{U_1'}} \searrow & \downarrow {\scriptstyle h_{12}} & \swarrow {\scriptstyle g_2|_{V_2'}} & \\ & & \mathbb{C} & & \end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

is commutative, that is

$$h_{12}(l, \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}(l)) = f_1(l), \quad \text{and} \quad h_{12}(\pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1}(m), m) = g_2(m), \tag{2.15}$$

for every $l \in U_1'$, $m \in V_2'$. Moreover, $\Phi_{12} = \mathrm{id}_{U_1'} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}$ and $\Psi_{12} = \pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{V_2'}$ induce isomorphisms $\mathrm{Crit}(h_{12}) \cong \mathrm{Crit}(f_1) \cong \mathrm{Crit}(g_2)$ as complex analytic spaces locally in the complex analytic topology. In particular, from Theorem 1.10, we can choose $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ coordinates normal to $(\mathrm{id}_L \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1')$ in W_{12}, and $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ coordinates normal to $(\pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{V_2'})(V_2')$ in W_{12}, under which we can write $h_{12} \cong f_1 \boxplus z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2$ and $h_{12} \cong g_2 \boxplus w_1^2 + \ldots + w_n^2$. Following §1.2, we will say that f_1 and g_2 are both stably equivalent to h_{12}.

Proof. Consider the product symplectic manifold $(S \times \bar{S}, \omega \oplus (-\omega))$, where $\bar{S}$ denotes the symplectic manifold S corresponding to the symplectic form with the opposite sign. In $S \times \bar{S}$ consider the Lagrangian submanifolds $N_1 := L \times M$ and $N_2 := \Delta_S$, the diagonal. As explained in §1.3, identify locally $(S \times \bar{S}, \omega \oplus -\omega)$ with $(T^*(L \times M), \omega_{L \times M})$, where $\omega_{L \times M}$ is the symplectic form on $T^*(L \times M)$, and thus $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is identified with the projection $\pi : T^*(L \times M) \to L \times M$, that is N_1 with the zero section, and N_2 with the graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}$ for a holomorphic function $h_{12} : L \times M \to \mathbb{C}$ normalized by $h_{12}|_{(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)((L \times M) \cap \Delta_S)} = 0$. Consider the submanifold $P := S \times M \subset S \times \bar{S}$ and intersect the Lagrangians N_1 and N_2 with this submanifold, yielding respectively $N_1 \cap P = N_1$ and $N_2 \cap P = \Delta_M$, which both lie in $S \times M$. Observe that

$$\omega \oplus (-\omega)|_P = p_1^{-1}\omega, \tag{2.16}$$

where $p_i : S \times S \to S$ are the projections to the i-th factor. Consider the following diagram of inclusions and projections in $S \times \bar{S}$ and S:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & N_1 \cap P = N_1 & \xrightarrow{\subset} & & & \\ & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & & & & \\ N_2 \cap P = \Delta_M & \xrightarrow{\subset} & P = S \times M & \xrightarrow{\subset} & S \times \bar{S} & & \\ \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\subset} & S & = & S. & & \end{array} \tag{2.17}$$

Under the local symplectomorphisms $S \cong T^*U_1$ and $S \times \bar{S} \cong T^*(U_1 \times V_2)$, equation (2.17) is identified with the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & z(U_1) \times z(V_2) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & & & \\ & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{T^*U_1}} & & & & \\ \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T^*U_1 \times z(V_2) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T^*(U_1 \times V_2) & & \\ \downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{T^*U_1}} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{T^*U_1}} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{T^*U_1}} & & \\ \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_1} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T^*U_1 & = & T^*U_1. & & \end{array} \tag{2.18}$$

Here $z : U_1 \to T^*U_1$, $z : V_2 \to T^*V_2$ are the zero section maps. To see that $N_2 \cap P = \Delta_{V_2}$ is identified with $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)}$, note that N_2 is identified with $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}$, and

$$(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)(\Delta_{V_2}) = (\pi_1|_{V_2'} \times \mathrm{id}_{V_2})(V_2) = (\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1) \subset U_1 \times V_2,$$

so that Δ_{V_2} is identified with a subset of $T^*(U_1 \times V_2)|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)} \subset T^*(U_1 \times V_2)$.

Equation (2.18) shows that $\pi_{T^*U_1}$ maps $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)} \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_1}$. Writing points of T^*U_1 as (x, α) for $x \in U_1$ and $\alpha \in T_x^*U_1$, and points of T^*V_2 as (y, β) for $y \in V_2$ and $\beta \in T_x^*V_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f_1} &= \big\{\big(x, \mathrm{d}f_1(x)\big) : x \in U_1\big\},\\ \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)} &= \big\{\big(x, \mathrm{d}_x h_{12}(x, \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}(x)), \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}(x), 0\big) : x \in U_1\big\},\end{aligned}$$

where the final term $\beta = 0$ as $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})(U_1)} \subset T^*U_1 \times z(V_2)$. The projection $\pi_{T^*U_1} : T^*U_1 \times T^*V_2 \to T^*V_2$ maps $(x, \alpha, y, \beta) \mapsto (x, \alpha)$. So from (2.18) we see that $\mathrm{d}_x h_{12}(x, \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}(x)) = \mathrm{d}_x f_1(x)$ for $x \in U_1$, that is, $\mathrm{d}\big(h_{12} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1})\big) = \mathrm{d}f_1$ in 1-forms on U_1. Therefore $h_{12} \circ \big(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}\big) = f_1 + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. But f_1 and h_{12} are normalized by $f_1|_{U_1 \cap V_2} = 0$ and $h_{12}|_{N_1 \cap N_2} = 0$, so as $p_1(N_1 \cap N_2) \subset U_1 \cap V_2$ we see that $c = 0$. Hence $h_{12} \circ \big(\mathrm{id}_{U_1} \times \pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}\big) = f_1$, and the left hand triangle of (2.14) commutes.

Using an analogous argument replacing (2.17)–(2.18) by the equations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N_1 \cap Q = N_1 & & \subset & & \\
\downarrow p_2 & & & & \\
N_2 \cap Q = \Delta_L & \xrightarrow{\subset} & Q := L \times S & \xrightarrow{\subset} & S \times \bar{S} \\
\downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_2 \\
L & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \bar{S} & = & \bar{S},
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
z(U_1) \times z(V_2) & & \subset & & \\
\downarrow \pi_{T^*V_2} & & & & \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{12}}|_{(\pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{V_2})(V_2)} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & z(U_1) \times T^*V_2 & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T^*(U_1 \times V_2) \\
\downarrow \pi_{T^*V_2} & & \downarrow \pi_{T^*V_2} & & \downarrow \pi_{T^*V_2} \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}g_2} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T^*V_2 & = & T^*V_2.
\end{array}$$

we see that the right hand triangle of (2.14) commutes.

Finally, the last part of Proposition 2.2 follows directly from Theorem 1.10(i). □

As sketched already in §1, note that the local biholomorphisms $\pi_1|_{V_2'}^{-1}$, $\pi_2|_{U_1'}^{-1}$ coming from polarizations π_1, π_2, induce isomorphisms (2.2) between the canonical bundles of the Lagrangian submanifolds. In terms of charts, we have an L-chart (P_1, U_1, f_1, i_1), an M-chart (Q_2, V_2, g_2, j_2) and an LM-chart $(R_{12}, W_{12}, h_{12}, k_{12})$ induced by $E_1, E_2, E_1 \times E_2$ respectively, where $P_1 = X \cap U_1$, $Q_2 = X \cap V_2$, $R_{12} = \{x \in X : (x, x) \in W_{12}\}$. Let us denote the corresponding principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}, Q_{Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2} and $Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}}$ parametrizing square roots of these isomorphisms of canonical bundles as explained in the introduction of §2.

Note that Proposition 2.2 defined two embeddings $\Phi_{12} : U_1' \hookrightarrow W_{12}$ and $\Psi_{12} : V_2' \hookrightarrow W_{12}$ which satisfy all the properties of Definition 1.11, giving embeddings of charts $\Phi_{12} : (P_1', U_1', f_1', i_1') \hookrightarrow (R_{12}, W_{12}, h_{12}, k_{12})$ and $\Psi_{12} : (Q_2', V_2', g_2', j_2') \hookrightarrow (R_{12}, W_{12}, h_{12}, k_{12})$, where (P_1', U_1', f_1', i_1') is a subchart of (P_1, U_1, f_1, i_1), and (Q_2', V_2', g_2', j_2') is a subchart of (Q_2, V_2, g_2, j_2) with $P_1' = Q_2' = R_{12}$.

Thus Definition 1.11 gives isomorphisms of line bundles on P^{red}:

$$J_{\Phi_{12}} : K_{U_1}^{\otimes^2}\big|_{P_1'^{\mathrm{red}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi_{12}|_{P_1'^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big(K_{W_{12}}^{\otimes^2}\big), \tag{2.19}$$

induced by $\Phi_{12} : (P_1', U_1', f_1', i_1') \hookrightarrow (R_{12}, W_{12}, h_{12}, k_{12})$, and

$$J_{\Psi_{12}} : K_{V_2}^{\otimes^2}\big|_{Q_2'^{\mathrm{red}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Psi_{12}|_{Q_2'^{\mathrm{red}}}^*\big(K_{W_{12}}^{\otimes^2}\big), \tag{2.20}$$

induced by $\Psi_{12}:(Q_2',V_2',g_2',j_2')\hookrightarrow(R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12})$.

Following Definition 1.11, define $\pi_{\Phi_{12}}:P_{\Phi_{12}}\rightarrow P_1'$, $\pi_{\Psi_{12}}:P_{\Psi_{12}}\rightarrow Q_2'$ to be the principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles parametrizing square roots of $J_{\Phi_{12}},J_{\Psi_{12}}$ on R_{12}^{red}. Then we naturally get isomorphisms of principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundles Λ_Φ and Λ_Ψ

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_{12}}:Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}}\xrightarrow{\cong}P_{\Phi_{12}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}|_{R_{12}},\tag{2.21}$$

$$\Lambda_{\Psi_{12}}:Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}}\xrightarrow{\cong}P_{\Psi_{12}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2}|_{R_{12}}.\tag{2.22}$$

Thus, we can apply Theorem 1.13, which yields natural isomorphisms of perverse sheaves on X:

$$\Theta_{\Phi_{12}}:\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1',f_1'}\longrightarrow\Phi_{12}|^*_{P_1'}\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}P_{\Phi_{12}},\tag{2.23}$$

$$\Theta_{\Psi}:\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_2',g_2'}\longrightarrow\Psi_{12}|^*_{Q_2'}\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}P_{\Psi_{12}},\tag{2.24}$$

where $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1',f_1'},\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_2',g_2'},\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}$ are the perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles from §1.1, and if $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ is a perverse sheaf on X then $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}P_{\Phi_{12}}$ is as in Definition 1.5. Also diagrams (1.27) and (1.28) commute. Now, combining the isomorphisms (2.21)–(2.24) we get isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{12}=\Theta_{\Phi_{12}}\otimes\Lambda_{\Phi_{12}}^{-1}:\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}\big)|_{R_{12}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}},\tag{2.25}$$

$$\beta_{12}=\Theta_{\Psi_{12}}\otimes\Lambda_{\Psi_{12}}^{-1}:\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_2,g_2}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2}\big)|_{R_{12}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}},\tag{2.26}$$

$$\beta_{12}^{-1}\circ\alpha_{12}:\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}\big)|_{R_{12}}\longrightarrow\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_2,g_2}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}Q_{Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2}\big)|_{R_{12}}.\tag{2.27}$$

2.2 Comparing perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles associated to polarizations

Given a complex symplectic manifold (S,ω) and L, M Lagrangian submanifolds in S, define X to be their intersection. From §1.3, locally in the complex analytic topology near a point $x\in X$, we can choose an open set $S'\subset S$ and we can choose a polarization transverse to both L and M such that $S'\cong T^*L$ and $M\cong\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}f}$ so that $X\cap S'=\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for a holomorphic function f defined on $U\subseteq L\cap S'$. Thus we get a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycle $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}$. In §2.1 we compared perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles associated to two transverse polarizations. In this section we will investigate about how they behave if we consider four polarizations, pairwise transverse in a 4-cycle. This result will be used in §2.3 to prove Theorem 2.1.

We choose four polarizations $\pi_i:S\rightarrow E_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,4$ all transverse to each other except perhaps for the pairs E_1, E_3 and E_2, E_4. Define L-charts $(P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1),(P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3)$ from π_1,π_3 and M-charts $(Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2),(Q_4,V_4,g_4,j_4)$ from π_2,π_4, as in the beginning of §2. Define LM-charts $(R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12})$ from π_1,π_2, $(R_{32},W_{32},h_{32},k_{32})$ from π_3,π_2, $(R_{34},W_{34},h_{34},k_{34})$ from π_3,π_4, $(R_{14},W_{14},h_{14},k_{14})$ from π_1,π_4 as in §2.1, with embeddings of charts $\Phi_{12},\Psi_{12},\ldots,\Phi_{14},\Psi_{14}$ from subcharts of $(P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a),(Q_b,V_b,g_b,j_b)$ to $(R_{ab},W_{ab},h_{ab},k_{ab})$.

Similarly to Proposition 2.2, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.3. *Given a complex symplectic manifold (S,ω) and L,M Lagrangian submanifolds in S, define X to be their intersection. Suppose we are given four polarizations $\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_4$, and choose data (P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a) for $a=1,3$, (Q_b,V_b,g_b,j_b) for $a=2,4$ and $(R_{ab},W_{ab},h_{ab},k_{ab}),\Phi_{ab},\Psi_{ab}$ for $ab=12,32,34,14$ as above.*

Then there exist an open set Z in $U_1\times V_2\times U_3\times V_4$, a holomorphic function $F:Z\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$, and open neighbourhoods U_a' of $X\cap S_1\cap S_2\cap S_3\cap S_4$ in U_a, and V_b' of $X\cap S_1\cap S_2\cap S_3\cap S_4$ in V_b, and $W_{ab'}$ of $\big\{(x,x):x\in X\cap S_1\cap S_2\cap S_3\cap S_4\big\}$ in W_{ab} for all $a=1,3$, $b=2,4$ such that the following diagram commutes:



(2.28)

Moreover, locally in the complex analytic topology $\mathrm{Crit}(F)\cong\mathrm{Crit}(h_{ij})\cong\mathrm{Crit}(f_i)\cong\mathrm{Crit}(g_j)$ *as complex analytic spaces for all* i,j*. In particular, we can choose appropriate coordinate systems under which we can write* F *as the sum of functions* h_{ij} *or* f_i *or* g_j *and non degenerate quadratic forms, that is they are all stably equivalent to each other in the sense of Theorem 1.10.*

Proof. In equation (2.28) there are three kinds of small triangles:

(i) Eight triangles with vertices U_a', W_{ab}', Z or V_b', W_{ab}', Z.

(ii) Eight triangles with vertices $U_a', W_{ab}', \mathbb{C}$ or $V_b', W_{ab}', \mathbb{C}$.

(iii) Four triangles with vertices $W_{ab}', Z, \mathbb{C}$.

To show that (2.28) commutes, we must show all these triangles commute. For the triangles of type (i) we can just check this by hand in an elementary way. The triangles of type (ii) commute by Proposition 2.2 applied to π_1,π_2 or π_3,π_2 or π_3,π_4 or π_1,π_4. This leaves the triangles of type (iii), which we will show commute by a similar proof to Proposition 2.2.

Consider the product symplectic manifold $(S\times\bar{S}\times S\times\bar{S},\omega\oplus-\omega\oplus\omega\oplus-\omega)$, where $\bar{S}$ denotes the symplectic manifold S corresponding to the symplectic form with the opposite sign. Write $p_i : S\times S\times S\times S\to S$ for the projection to the i-th factor. In $S\times\bar{S}\times S\times\bar{S}$ consider the Lagrangian submanifolds $N_1 := L\times\Delta_S\times M$ and $N_2 := \Delta_S\times\Delta_S$. Identify it with $T^*(L\times M\times L\times M)$, and thus $\pi_1\times\pi_2\times\pi_3\times\pi_4$ with $\pi : T^*(L\times M\times L\times M)\to L\times M\times L\times M$, that is N_1 with the zero section, and $N_2 := \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}F}$ for a holomorphic function $F : Z\to\mathbb{C}$ for open $Z\subseteq L\times M\times L\times M$ normalized by $F|_{(\pi_1\times\pi_2\times\pi_3\times\pi_4)(N_1\cap N_2)}=0$. Consider the submanifolds

$$P_{12} := S\times S\times\Delta_S^{34},\quad P_{32} := L\times S\times S\times M,\quad P_{34} := \Delta_S^{12}\times S\times S,\quad P_{14} := S\times\Delta_S^{32}\times S.$$

In the same style as the proof of Proposition 2.14, intersect the Lagrangians with these submanifolds. We can either identify N_1 with the zero section and $N_2=\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}F}$, or $N_1=\Gamma_{-\mathrm{d}F}$ and N_2 with the zero section. We will use both the options. Let us start with the submanifold P_{12}, for which we use the second identification.

Consider the following diagram of inclusions and projections in $S\times\bar S\times S\times\bar S$ and $S\times\bar S$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & N_1\cap P_{12}=L\times\Delta_M^{234} & \subset & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_2} & \searrow & & & \\
N_2\cap P_{12}=N_2 & \longrightarrow & L\times M & \subset & P_{12}=S\times S\times\Delta_S^{34} & \longrightarrow & S\times\bar S\times S\times\bar S \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_2} \\
\Delta_S & \longrightarrow & S\times\bar S & = & S\times\bar S & = & S\times\bar S.
\end{array} \tag{2.29}$$

Under the local symplectomorphisms $S\times\bar S\cong T^*(L\times M)$ and $S\times\bar S\times S\times\bar S\cong T^*(L\times M\times L\times M)$, equation (2.29) is identified with the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \Gamma_{-\mathrm{d}F}|_{(\pi_L\times\pi_M\times(\pi_3|_M\circ\pi_M)\times\pi_M)(W'_{12})} & \subset & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}} & \searrow & & & \\
z(L)\times z(M)\times z(L)\times z(M) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{-\mathrm{d}h_{12}} & \subset & z(L)\times z(M)\times T^*L\times T^*M & \longrightarrow & T^*L\times T^*M\times T^*L\times T^*M \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{12}} \\
z(L)\times z(M) & \longrightarrow & T^*L\times T^*M & = & T^*L\times T^*M & = & T^*L\times T^*M.
\end{array} \tag{2.30}$$

Here $z:L\to T^*L$, $M\to T^*M$ are the zero section maps. To understand this, note that $\pi_1\times\pi_2\times\pi_2\times\pi_4$ maps $N_1\cap P_{12}=L\times\Delta_M^{234}$ to the submanifold $(\pi_L\times\pi_M\times(\pi_3|_M\circ\pi_M)\times\pi_M)(L\times M)$ in $L\times M\times L\times M$. Our identification $S\times\bar S\times S\times\bar S\cong T^*(L\times M\times L\times M)$ maps $N_1\mapsto\Gamma_{-\mathrm{d}F}$. Hence the top term $N_1\cap P_{12}$ in (2.29) is identified with the top term $\Gamma_{-\mathrm{d}F}|_{(\pi_L\times\pi_M\times(\pi_3|_M\circ\pi_M)\times\pi_M)(W'_{12})}$ in (2.30). As for (2.17)–(2.18), we see from (2.29)–(2.30) that the triangle of type (iii) in (2.28) with vertices the top centre $L\times M$, and $L\times M\times L\times M$, and $\mathbb{C}$, commutes.

Similarly, taking intersections with the submanifold P_{14} gives a diagram analogous to (2.29):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & N_1\cap P_{14}=N_1 & \subset & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_4} & \searrow & & & \\
N_2\cap P_{14}=\Delta_S^{1234} & \longrightarrow & L\times M & \subset & P_{14}=S\times\Delta_S^{23}\times S & \longrightarrow & S\times\bar S\times S\times\bar S \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_4} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_4} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1\times p_4} \\
\Delta_S & \longrightarrow & S\times\bar S & = & S\times\bar S & = & S\times\bar S.
\end{array}$$

Using the first identification, this is identified with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & z(L)\times z(M)\times z(L)\times z(M) & \subset & & & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{14}} & \searrow & & & \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}F}|_{\substack{(\pi_L\times(\pi_2|_L\circ(\pi_4|_L\times\pi_1|_M)^{-1})\times\\(\pi_3|_M\circ(\pi_4|_L\times\pi_1|_M)^{-1})\times\pi_M)(W'_{14})}} & \longrightarrow & z(L)\times z(M) & \subset & z(L)\times T^*M\times T^*L\times z(M) & \longrightarrow & T^*(L\times M\times L\times M) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{14}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{14}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{14}} \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}h_{14}} & \longrightarrow & T^*(L\times M) & = & T^*(L\times M) & = & T^*(L\times M).
\end{array}$$

Here $\pi_1\times\pi_2\times\pi_2\times\pi_4$ maps $N_2\cap P_{14}=\Delta_S^{1234}$ to $(\pi_L\times(\pi_2|_L\circ(\pi_4|_L\times\pi_1|_M)^{-1})\times(\pi_3|_M\circ(\pi_4|_L\times\pi_1|_M)^{-1})\times\pi_M)(L\times M)$, and we identify N_2 with $\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}F}$, which is how we get the first term on the middle line. From this we see that the triangle of type (iii) in (2.28) with vertices the left hand $L\times M$, and $L\times M\times L\times M$, and $\mathbb{C}$, commutes. The remaining two type (iii) triangles can be shown to commute in a similar way. Hence (2.28) commutes. Finally, the last part of Proposition 2.3 follows directly from Theorem 1.10(i). □

In the situation of Proposition 2.3, set $Y = X \cap S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3 \cap S_4$. Then following the reasoning of (2.19)–(2.27) which defined the isomorphisms of perverse sheaves α_{12}, β_{12} in (2.25)–(2.26), from (2.28) we get a commutative diagram of isomorphisms of perverse sheaves:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1})|_Y\end{subarray} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{12}|_Y} & \begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{12},h_{12}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{R_{12},W_{12},h_{12},k_{12}})|_Y\end{subarray} & \xleftarrow{\beta_{12}|_Y} & \begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_2,g_2}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{Q_2,V_2,g_2,j_2})|_Y\end{subarray} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_{14}|_Y} & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_{32}|_Y} \\
\begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{14},h_{14}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{R_{14},W_{14},h_{14},k_{14}})|_Y\end{subarray} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{Z,F}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{Z,F} & \longleftarrow & \begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{32},h_{32}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{R_{32},W_{32},h_{32},k_{12}})|_Y\end{subarray} \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_{14}|_Y} & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_{32}|_Y} \\
\begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V_4,g_4}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{Q_4,V_4,g_4,j_4})|_Y\end{subarray} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{34}|_Y} & \begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{W_{34},h_{34}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{R_{34},W_{34},h_{34},k_{34}})|_Y\end{subarray} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{34}|_Y} & \begin{subarray}{c}(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_3,f_3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\\ Q_{P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3})|_Y\end{subarray}
\end{array} \tag{2.31}$$

Since (2.31) commutes, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{32}|_Y^{-1}\circ\beta_{32}|_Y\circ\beta_{12}|_Y^{-1}\circ\alpha_{12}|_Y = \alpha_{34}|_Y^{-1}\circ\beta_{34}|_Y\circ\beta_{14}|_Y^{-1}\circ\alpha_{14}|_Y:\\ \bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}\bigr)|_Y \longrightarrow \bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_3,f_3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3}\bigr)|_Y.\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Equation (2.32) tells us something important. Suppose we start with polarizations $\pi_1 : S_1 \to E_1$ and $\pi_3 : S_3 \to E_3$ transverse to L, M, and use them to define L-charts (P_1, U_1, f_1, i_1) and (P_3, U_3, f_3, i_3), and hence perverse sheaves $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1}$ on $P_1 = X \cap S_1$ and $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_3,f_3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3}$ on $P_3 = X \cap S_3$. We wish to relate these perverse sheaves on the overlap $X \cap S_1 \cap S_3$. To do this, we choose another polarization $\pi_2 : S_2 \to E_2$ transverse to L, M, π_1, π_3, and define an M-chart (Q_2, V_2, g_2, j_2) and LM-charts $(R_{12}, W_{12}, h_{12}, k_{12})$ and $(R_{32}, W_{32}, h_{32}, k_{32})$. Then as in (2.27), $\alpha_{32}^{-1}\circ\beta_{32}\circ\beta_{12}^{-1}\circ\alpha_{12}$ provides the isomorphism $\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_1,f_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_1,U_1,f_1,i_1} \cong \mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_3,f_3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_3,U_3,f_3,i_3}$ we want on $X \cap S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$. Equation (2.32) shows that this isomorphism is independent of the choice of polarization $\pi_2 : S_2 \to E_2$.

2.3 Descent for perverse sheaves

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use Theorem 1.4, so in particular a descent argument to glue and get a global perverse sheaf. In this section we adopt the point of view of charts induced by polarizations. This proof follows similar ideas to [BBDJS, §6.3].

Let (S, ω) be a complex symplectic manifold and L, M complex Lagrangian submanifolds in S, and write $X = L \cap M$, as a complex analytic subspace of S. Suppose we are given square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ for K_L, K_M. We may choose a family of polarizations $\pi_a : S_a \to E_a$ which defines a family $\bigl\{(R_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) : a \in A\bigr\}$ of L-charts (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) on X such that $\{P_a : a \in A\}$ is an analytic open cover of the analytic space X, so that $P_a \cong \mathrm{Crit}(f_a)$ for holomorphic functions $f_a : U_a \to \mathbb{C}$, and U_a complex manifolds (Lagrangians), and $i_a : P_a \hookrightarrow U_a$ closed embeddings.

Then for each $a \in A$ we have a perverse sheaf

$$i_a^*\bigl(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_a,f_a}\bigr)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a} \in \mathrm{Perv}(R_a), \tag{2.33}$$

for Q_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a} the principal $\mathbb{Z}_2$ bundle defined in §2 point (i) parametrizing choices of square roots of canonical bundles $K_L^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_M^{1/2}$ which square to isomorphisms (2.2). As explained already in the introduction of

§2, the idea of the proof is to use Theorem 1.4(ii) to glue the perverse sheaves (2.33) on the analytic open cover $\{P_a : a \in A\}$ to get a global perverse sheaf $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ on X.

We already know from Proposition 2.2 that, given an L-chart (P, U, f, i) and an M-chart (Q, V, g, j) we have the isomorphism (2.11), which we recall here:

$$\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha : (i^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U,f}) \otimes Q_{P,U,f,i})|_R \xrightarrow{\cong} (j^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{V,g}) \otimes Q_{Q,V,g,j})|_R,$$

that is, an isomorphism of perverse sheaves from L-charts and M-charts in $\mathrm{Perv}(P \cap Q)$.

Now, to develop our program, we have to show that if (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) and (P_b, U_b, f_b, i_b) are L-charts, then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\delta_{ab} : (i_a^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_a,f_a}) \otimes Q_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a})|_{P_a\cap P_b} \xrightarrow{\cong} (i_b^*(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_b,f_b}) \otimes Q_{P_b,U_b,f_b,i_b})|_{P_a\cap P_b} \tag{2.34}$$

with the property that for any M-chart (Q, V, g, j) coming from $\tilde\pi : \tilde S \to F$ transverse to π_a and π_b, we have

$$\delta_{ab}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} = \alpha^{-1}_{U_b,f_b,W',h'}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \beta_{W',h',V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W,h,V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W,h}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q}. \tag{2.35}$$

To prove this, we first use Proposition 2.3, which provides an associativity result as in (2.12) or (2.32). In particular, it shows that if (Q', V', g', j') is another such M-chart, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha^{-1}_{U_b,f_b,W',h'}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \beta_{W',h',V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W,h,V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W,h}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} =\\ &\alpha^{-1}_{U_b,f_b,W'',h''}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \beta_{W'',h'',V',g'}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W''',h''',V',g'}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W''',h'''}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q\cap Q'}.\end{aligned}$$

Fix two charts (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) and (P_b, U_b, f_b, i_b), and choose a family $\big\{(Q_c, V_c, g_c, j_c) : c \in I\big\}$ of M-charts (Q_c, V_c, g_c, j_c) on X transverse to both (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) and (P_b, U_b, f_b, i_b), such that $\{Q_c : c \in I\}$ is an analytic open cover of $P_a \cap P_b$. Then, we can use the sheaf property of morphisms of perverse sheaves in the sense of Theorem 1.4, to get δ_{ab} as in (2.35) by gluing

$$\alpha^{-1}_{U_b,f_b,W',h'}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \beta_{W',h',V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W,h,V,g}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W,h}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap Q}$$

on the open cover $\{Q_c : c \in I\}$. Also, δ_{ab} satisfy (2.35) for all (Q, V, g, j), and this is independent of choice of (Q, V, g, j). This is because we can run the construction above with the family $\big\{(P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) : a \in A\big\} \amalg \big\{(Q, V, g, j)\big\}$, yielding the same result.

Moreover, on $P_a \cap P_b \cap P_c$ we have $\delta_{bc} \circ \delta_{ab} = \delta_{ac}$. This is because, given locally a polarization $\tilde\pi : \tilde S \to F$ transverse to all of π_a, π_b, π_c, then on $P_a \cap P_b \cap P_c \cap Q$, we can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{bc} \circ \gamma_{ab}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap P_c\cap Q} =&(\alpha^{-1}_{U_c,f_c,W'',h''} \circ \beta_{W'',h'',V,g} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W',h',V,g} \circ \alpha_{U_b,f_b,W',h'})\circ\\ &(\alpha^{-1}_{U_b,f_b,W',h'} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W',h',V,g} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W,h,V,g} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W,h}) =\\ &(\alpha^{-1}_{U_c,f_c,W'',h''} \circ \beta_{W'',h'',V,g} \circ \beta^{-1}_{W,h,V,g} \circ \alpha_{U_a,f_a,W,h}) = \gamma_{ac}|_{P_a\cap P_b\cap P_c\cap Q}.\end{aligned}$$

As we can cover $P_a \cap P_b \cap P_c$ by such open $P_a \cap P_b \cap P_c \cap Q$, we deduce that $\gamma_{bc} \circ \gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ac}$ by the sheaf property of morphisms of perverse sheaves in the sense of Theorem 1.4.

In conclusion, we have an open cover of X by L-charts (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a), and isomorphisms (2.34), satisfying $\gamma_{bc} \circ \gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ac}$. So by stack property of perverse sheaves in the sense of Theorem 1.4(ii), we get that there exists $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$, unique up to canonical isomorphism, with isomorphisms

$$\omega_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a} : P^\bullet_{L,M}|_{P_a} \xrightarrow{\cong} i_a^*\big(\mathcal{PV}^\bullet_{U_a,f_a}\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a}$$

as in (2.4) for each $a \in A$, with $\gamma_{ab} \circ \omega_{P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a}|_{P_a\cap P_b} = \omega_{P_b,U_b,f_b,i_b}|_{P_a\cap P_b}$ for all $a, b \in A$. Also, (2.5)–(2.6) with (P_a, U_a, f_a, i_a) in place of (P, U, f, i) define isomorphisms $\Sigma_{L,M}|_{P_a}$, $\mathrm{T}_{L,M}|_{P_a}$ for each $a \in A$. The prescribed values for $\Sigma_{L,M}|_{P_a}, \mathrm{T}_{L,M}|_{P_a}$ and $\Sigma_{L,M}|_{P_b}, \mathrm{T}_{L,M}|_{P_b}$ agree when restricted to $P_a \cap P_b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Hence, Theorem 1.4(i) gives unique isomorphisms $\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ in (2.1) such that (2.5)–(2.6) commute with (P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a) in place of (P,U,f,i) for all $a\in A$.

Also, the whole construction is independent of the choice of the family of L-charts and polarizations. This is because we can suppose $\bigl\{(P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a):a\in A\bigr\}$ and $\bigl\{(\tilde P_a,\tilde U_a,\tilde f_a,\tilde\imath_a):a\in\tilde A\bigr\}$ are alternative choices above, yielding $P^\bullet_{L,M},\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ and $\tilde P^\bullet_{L,M},\tilde\Sigma_{L,M},\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{L,M}$. Then applying the same construction to the family $\bigl\{(P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a):a\in A\bigr\}\amalg\bigl\{(\tilde P_a,\tilde U_a,\tilde f_a,\tilde\imath_a):a\in\tilde A\bigr\}$ to get $\hat P^\bullet_{L,M}$, we have canonical isomorphisms $P^\bullet_{L,M}\cong\hat P^\bullet_{L,M}\cong\tilde P^\bullet_{L,M}$, which identify $\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ with $\tilde\Sigma_{L,M},\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{L,M}$. Thus $P^\bullet_{L,M},\Sigma_{L,M},\mathrm{T}_{L,M}$ are independent of choices up to canonical isomorphism.

3 Analytic d-critical locus structure on complex Lagrangian intersections

Pantev et al. [PTVV] show that derived intersections $L\cap M$ of algebraic Lagrangians L,M in an algebraic symplectic manifold (S,ω) have (-1)–shifted symplectic structures, so that Theorem 6.6 in [BBDJS] gives them the structure of algebraic d-critical loci. Here, we will prove a complex analytic version of this. Theorem 3.1 states that the Lagrangian intersection $L\cap M$ of (oriented) complex Lagrangians L,M has the structure of an (oriented) complex analytic d-critical locus. Notice at this point that we could have then used [BBDJS, Thm 6.9] to define a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ on $L\cap M$, instead of going through Theorem 2.1 in §2, but we wanted to provide a clear and direct proof about how to glue perverse sheaves on complex Lagrangian intersections in a complex analytic setup, and using only classical and symplectic geometry. Note also that we cannot prove Theorem 3.1 by going via [PTVV], as they do not do a complex analytic version.

Here is the result of the section.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose (S,ω) is a complex symplectic manifold, and L,M are (oriented) complex Lagrangian submanifolds in S. Then the intersection $X=L\cap M$, as a complex analytic subspace of S, extends naturally to a (oriented) complex analytic d-critical locus (X,s). The canonical bundle $K_{X,s}$ in the sense of Theorem 3.5 in §3.1 is naturally isomorphic to $K_L|_{X^{\rm red}}\otimes K_M|_{X^{\rm red}}$.*

Theorem 3.1 will be proved in §3.2, while in §3.1 we recall some material from [Joyc1].

3.1 Background material on d-critical loci

Here are some of the main definitions and results on d-critical loci, from Joyce [Joyc1, Th.s 2.1, 2.13, 2.21 & Def.s 2.3, 2.11, 2.23].

The key idea of this section, d-critical loci, is explained in Definition 3.3 below. As a preliminary, we need to associate a sheaf $\mathcal{S}_X$ to each complex analytic space X, such that (very roughly) sections of $\mathcal{S}_X$ parametrize different ways of writing X as $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for U a complex manifold and $f:U\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a complex analytic space. Then there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{S}_X$ of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on X, unique up to canonical isomorphism, which is uniquely characterized by the following two properties:*

(i) *Suppose U is a complex manifold, R is an open subset in X, and $i:R\hookrightarrow U$ is an embedding of R as a closed complex analytic subspace of U. Then we have an exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on R:*

$$0\longrightarrow I_{R,U}\longrightarrow i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U)\xrightarrow{\;i^\sharp\;}\mathcal{O}_X|_R\longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X,\mathcal{O}_U$ are the sheaves of holomorphic functions on X,U, and $i^\sharp$ is the morphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on R induced by i, which is surjective as i is an embedding, and $I_{R,U}=\mathrm{Ker}(i^\sharp)$ is the sheaf of ideals in $i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U)$ of functions on U near $i(R)$ which vanish on $i(R)$.

There is an exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on R:

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{S}_X|_R\xrightarrow{\;\iota_{R,U}\;}\frac{i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U)}{I_{R,U}^2}\xrightarrow{\;\mathrm{d}\;}\frac{i^{-1}(T^*U)}{I_{R,U}\cdot i^{-1}(T^*U)}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where d maps $f+I_{R,U}^2\mapsto\mathrm{d}f+I_{R,U}\cdot i^{-1}(T^*U)$.

(ii) *Let $R,U,i,\iota_{R,U}$ and $S,V,j,\iota_{S,V}$ be as in* **(i)** *with $R\subseteq S\subseteq X$, and suppose $\Phi:U\to V$ is holomorphic with $\Phi\circ i=j|_R$ as a morphism of complex analytic spaces $R\to V$. Then the following diagram of sheaves on R commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_X|_R & \xrightarrow{\iota_{S,V}|_R} & \dfrac{j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)}{I_{S,V}^2}\Big|_R & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} & \dfrac{j^{-1}(T^*V)}{I_{S,V}\cdot j^{-1}(T^*V)}\Big|_R \\
 & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle i^{-1}(\Phi^\sharp)_*} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle i^{-1}(\mathrm{d}\Phi)_*} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_X|_R & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R,U}} & \dfrac{i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U)}{I_{R,U}^2} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} & \dfrac{i^{-1}(T^*U)}{I_{R,U}\cdot i^{-1}(T^*U)}\,.
\end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\Phi:U\to V$ induces $\Phi^\sharp:\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)\to\mathcal{O}_U$ on U, so we have

$$i^{-1}(\Phi^\sharp):j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)|_R=i^{-1}\circ\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)\longrightarrow i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U), \tag{3.4}$$

a morphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on R. As $\Phi\circ i=j|_R$, equation (3.4) *maps $I_{S,V}|_R\to I_{R,U}$, and so maps $I_{S,V}^2|_R\to I_{R,U}^2$. Thus* (3.4) *induces the morphism in the second column of* (3.3)*. Similarly, $\mathrm{d}\Phi:\Phi^{-1}(T^*V)\to T^*U$ induces the third column of* (3.3)*.*

There is a natural decomposition $\mathcal{S}_X=\mathcal{S}_X^0\oplus\mathbb{C}_X$, where $\mathbb{C}_X$ is the constant sheaf on X with fibre $\mathbb{C}$, and the subsheaf $\mathcal{S}_X^0\subset\mathcal{S}_X$ is the kernel of the composition

$$\mathcal{S}_X\xrightarrow{\beta_X}\mathcal{O}_X\xrightarrow{i_X^\sharp}\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}, \tag{3.5}$$

with X^{red} the reduced complex analytic subspace of X, and $i_X:X^{\mathrm{red}}\hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion.

Thus, if we can write $X=\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ for $f:U\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then we obtain a natural section $s\in H^0(\mathcal{S}_X)$. Essentially $s=f+I_{\mathrm{d}f}^2$, where $I_{\mathrm{d}f}\subseteq\mathcal{O}_U$ is the ideal generated by $\mathrm{d}f$. Note that $f|_X=f+I_{\mathrm{d}f}$, so s determines $f|_X$. Basically, s remembers all of the information about f which makes sense intrinsically on X, rather than on the ambient space U.

We can now define d-critical loci:

Definition 3.3. A *complex analytic d-critical locus* is a pair (X,s), where X is a complex analytic space, and $s\in H^0(\mathcal{S}_X^0)$ for $\mathcal{S}_X^0$ as in Theorem 3.2, satisfying the condition that for each $x\in X$, there exists an open neighbourhood R of x in X, a complex manifold U, a holomorphic function $f:U\to\mathbb{C}$, and an embedding $i:R\hookrightarrow U$ of R as a closed complex analytic subspace of U, such that $i(R)=\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ as complex analytic subspaces of U, and $\iota_{R,U}(s|_R)=i^{-1}(f)+I_{R,U}^2$. We call the quadruple (R,U,f,i) a *critical chart* on (X,s).

Let (X,s) be a complex analytic d-critical locus, and (R,U,f,i) a critical chart on (X,s). Let $U'\subseteq U$ be open, and set $R'=i^{-1}(U')\subseteq R$, $i'=i|_{R'}:R'\hookrightarrow U'$, and $f'=f|_{U'}$. Then (R',U',f',i') is a critical chart on (X,s), and we call it a *subchart* of (R,U,f,i). As a shorthand we write $(R',U',f',i')\subseteq(R,U,f,i)$.

Let $(R,U,f,i),(S,V,g,j)$ be critical charts on (X,s), with $R\subseteq S\subseteq X$. An *embedding* of (R,U,f,i) in (S,V,g,j) is a locally closed embedding $\Phi:U\hookrightarrow V$ such that $\Phi\circ i=j|_R$ and $f=g\circ\Phi$. As a shorthand we write $\Phi:(R,U,f,i)\hookrightarrow(S,V,g,j)$. If $\Phi:(R,U,f,i)\hookrightarrow(S,V,g,j)$ and $\Psi:(S,V,g,j)\hookrightarrow(T,W,h,k)$ are embeddings, then $\Psi\circ\Phi:(R,U,i,e)\hookrightarrow(T,W,h,k)$ is also an embedding.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X,s) be a d-critical locus, and $(R,U,f,i),(S,V,g,j)$ be critical charts on (X,s). Then for each $x\in R\cap S\subseteq X$ there exist subcharts $(R',U',f',i')\subseteq(R,U,f,i)$, $(S',V',g',j')\subseteq(S,V,g,j)$ with $x\in R'\cap S'\subseteq X$, a critical chart (T,W,h,k) on (X,s), and embeddings $\Phi:(R',U',f',i')\hookrightarrow(T,W,h,k)$, $\Psi:(S',V',g',j')\hookrightarrow(T,W,h,k)$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X,s) be a complex analytic d-critical locus, and $X^{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq X$ the associated reduced complex analytic space. Then there exists a holomorphic line bundle $K_{X,s}$ on X^{red} which we call the* ***canonical bundle*** *of (X,s), which is natural up to canonical isomorphism, and is characterized by the following properties:*

(i) *If (R,U,f,i) is a critical chart on (X,s), there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\iota_{R,U,f,i}:K_{X,s}|_{R^{\rm red}}\longrightarrow i^*\bigl(K_U^{\otimes^2}\bigr)|_{R^{\rm red}},$$

where $K_U=\Lambda^{\dim U}T^*U$ is the canonical bundle of U in the usual sense.

(ii) *Let $\Phi:(R,U,f,i)\hookrightarrow(S,V,g,j)$ be an embedding of critical charts on (X,s), and N_{UV},q_{UV} be as in Proposition 1.10 and set $n=\dim V-\dim U$. Taking top exterior powers in the dual of (1.15) and pulling back to $R^{\rm red}$ using i^* gives an isomorphism of line bundles on $R^{\rm red}$*

$$\rho_{UV}:i^*(K_U)\otimes i^*(\Lambda^n N_{UV}^*)|_{R^{\rm red}}\overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}j^*(K_V)|_{R^{\rm red}}. \tag{3.6}$$

As q_{UV} is a nondegenerate quadratic form on $i^*(N_{UV})$, its determinant $\det(q_{UV})$ is a nonvanishing section of $i^*(\Lambda^n N_{UV}^*)^{\otimes^2}$. Then the following diagram of isomorphisms of line bundles on $R^{\rm red}$ commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{X,s}|_{R^{\rm red}} & \xrightarrow{\qquad\iota_{R,U,f,i}\qquad} & i^*\bigl(K_U^{\otimes^2}\bigr)|_{R^{\rm red}} \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{S,V,g,j}|_{R^{\rm red}}} & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_{i^*(K_U^2)}\otimes\det(q_{UV})|_{R^{\rm red}}}\Big\downarrow \\
j^*\bigl(K_V^{\otimes^2}\bigr)\big|_{R^{\rm red}} & \xleftarrow{\quad\rho_{UV}^{\otimes^2}\quad} & i^*\bigl(K_U^{\otimes^2}\bigr)\otimes i^*\bigl(\Lambda^n N_{UV}^*\bigr)^{\otimes^2}|_{R^{\rm red}}.
\end{array}$$

Definition 3.6. Let (X,s) be a complex analytic d-critical locus, and $K_{X,s}$ its canonical bundle from Theorem 3.5. An *orientation* on (X,s) is a choice of square root line bundle $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$ for $K_{X,s}$ on $X^{\rm red}$. That is, an orientation is a line bundle L on $X^{\rm red}$, together with an isomorphism $L^{\otimes^2}=L\otimes L\cong K_{X,s}$. A d-critical locus with an orientation will be called an *oriented d-critical locus*.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let (S,ω) be a complex symplectic manifold, and $L,M\subset S$ two complex Lagrangian submanifolds of S. Given the complex analytic space $X=L\cap M$, we must construct a section $s\in H^0(S_X^0)$ such that (X,s) is a complex analytic d-critical locus. We use notation from §2, and in particular the notions of L-chart, M-chart, and LM-chart.

We claim that there is a unique d-critical structure s on X, such that

1. every L-chart (P,U,f,i) from a polarization $\pi_1:S_1\to E_1$ transverse to L,M is a critical chart on (X,s);
2. every M-chart (Q,V,g,j) from a polarization $\pi_2:S_2\to E_2$ transverse to L,M is a critical chart on (X,s).

where L-charts and M-charts are defined using transverse polarizations. To show this we note that the L-chart (P,U,f,i) determines a d-critical structure s_P on P, and similarly the M-chart (Q,V,g,j) determines a d-critical structure s_Q on Q.

Next, for given L-charts and M-charts, we use the LM-charts (R,W,h,k) and Proposition 2.2 in §2 to show that $s_P|_{P\cap Q}=s_Q|_{P\cap Q}$.

Then, we choose a locally finite cover of L-charts (P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a) for $a\in A$ covering X, from polarizations transverse to L,M. We choose M-charts (Q_b,U_b,f_b,i_b) for $b\in B$ covering X, from polarizations transverse to L,M and all polarizations used to define the (P_a,U_a,f_a,i_a). Then we get: $s_{P_a}|_{P_a\cap Q_b}=s_{Q_b}|_{P_a\cap Q_b}$ for all a,b. Hence $s_{P_a}|_{P_a\cap P_{a'}\cap Q_b}=s_{P_{a'}}|_{P_a\cap P_{a'}\cap Q_b}$ for all $a,a'\in A$, $b\in B$. As the Q_b cover X, we have $s_{P_a}|_{P_a\cap P_{a'}}=s_{P_{a'}}|_{P_a\cap P_{a'}}$, for all $a,a'\in A$.

So there exists a unique section s with $s|_{P_a}=s_{P_a}$, for all $a\in A$, as S_X^0 is a sheaf. Finally, following the same technique of §2.3, the construction is independence of choices.

For the second part of the theorem, let (P,U,f,i), be a critical chart on (X,s). Then Theorem 3.5(i) gives a natural isomorphism

$$\iota_{P,U,f,i}:K_{X,s}|_{P^{\rm red}}\longrightarrow i^*\bigl(K_U^{\otimes^2}\bigr)|_{P^{\rm red}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Using (2.2), note that $K_U^2 \cong K_L \otimes K_M$, as the polarization π identifies both L, M with U locally, giving isomorphisms $K_U|_X \cong K_L|_X \cong K_M|_X$. Now comparing with (1.30), we get $K_{X,s}|_{P^{\rm red}} \cong \det(\mathbb{L}_X)|_{P^{\rm red}}$ for each (P, U, f, i), critical chart on (X, s). Comparing two critical charts, one can show that the canonical isomorphisms constructed above from two such charts are equal on the overlap. Therefore the isomorphisms glue to give a global canonical isomorphism $K_{X,s} \cong \det(\mathbb{L}_X)|_{X^{\rm red}}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Note that we did not use $LMLM$ charts and Proposition 2.3 in §2.2. That is because we are constructing a section s of a sheaf, (effectively, a morphism in a category), rather than a (perverse) sheaf (an object in a category), so basically we only have to go up to double overlaps, not triple overlaps.

4 Relation with other works and further research

In this section we briefly discuss related work in the literature, and outline some ideas for future investigation.

The work of Behrend and Fantechi [BeFa]

The main inspiration for the present work was a result by Behrend and Fantechi [BeFa] in 2006. Their project aims to construct and study Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures on Lagrangian intersections. They consider a pair L, M, of complex Lagrangian submanifolds in a complex symplectic manifold (S, ω), and they show that one can equip the graded algebra $\mathcal{T}or_{-i}^{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M)$ with a Gerstenhaber bracket, and the graded sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M)$ with a Batalin–Vilkovisky type differential. The approach is the same as our approach, and in fact we were inspired by that: it is based on the holomorphic version of the Darboux theorem, that is, any holomorphic symplectic manifold is locally isomorphic to a cotangent bundle, thus reducing the case of a general Lagrangian intersection to the special case where one of the two Lagrangian is identified with the zero section of the cotangent bundle of the symplectic manifold, and the second one is the graph of a holomorphic function locally defined on the first Lagrangian.

In particular, Behrend and Fantechi [BeFa, Th.s 4.3 & 5.2] claim to construct canonical $\mathbb{C}$-linear differentials

$$\mathrm{d} : \mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^{i+1}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M)$$

with $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$, such that $\bigl(\mathcal{E}xt^*_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M), \mathrm{d}\bigr)$ is a constructible complex, called the *virtual de Rham complex* of the Lagrangian intersection X. Conjecturally, $(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathrm{d})$ categorifies Lagrangian intersection numbers, in the sense that the constructible function

$$p \to \sum_i (-1)^{i-\dim(S)} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^i_{\{p\}}(X, (\mathcal{E}xt^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M), \mathrm{d})),$$

of fiberwise Euler characteristic of $(\mathcal{E}xt^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M), \mathrm{d})$ is equal to the well known Behrend function ν_X in [Behr], and so

$$\chi(X, \nu_X) = \sum_i (-1)^{i-\dim(S)} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^i(X, (\mathcal{E}xt^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_M), \mathrm{d})).$$

Their main theorem [BeFa, Th. 4.3] claims that the locally defined de Rham differentials coming from the picture given by the holomorphic Darboux theorem, do not depend on the way one writes S as a cotangent bundle, or, in other words, that d is independent of the chosen polarization of S. Thus, the locally defined d glue, and they obtain a globally defined canonical de Rham type differential. Unfortunately, there is a mistake in the proof. To fix this one should instead work with $\mathcal{E}xt^*_{\mathcal{O}_S}(K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2})$ for square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ as in §2. Also the relation between their virtual de Rham complex and vanishing cycles relies on a conjecture of Kapranov [Kapr, Rmk. 2.12(b)], which later turned out to true just over the ring of Laurent series - see Sabbah [Sabb, Th. 1.1] (deformation–quantization setting, see discussion below).

The work of Kashiwara and Schapira [KaSc2]

Kashiwara and Schapira [KaSc3] develop a theory of *deformation quantization modules*, or *DQ-modules*, on a complex symplectic manifold (S, ω), which roughly may be regarded as symplectic versions of $\mathscr{D}$-modules. *Holonomic* DQ-modules $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ are supported on (possibly singular) complex Lagrangians L in S. If L is a smooth, closed, complex Lagrangian in S and $K_L^{1/2}$ a square root of K_L, D'Agnolo and Schapira [DASc] show that there exists a simple holonomic DQ-module $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ supported on L.

If $\mathcal{D}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet$ are simple holonomic DQ-modules on S supported on smooth Lagrangians L, M, then Kashiwara and Schapira [KaSc2] show that $R\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{D}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet)[n]$ is a perverse sheaf on S over the field $\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$, supported on $X = L \cap M$. Pierre Schapira explained to the authors of [BBDJS] how to prove that $R\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{D}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet)[n] \cong P^\bullet_{L,M}$, when $P^\bullet_{L,M}$ is defined over the base ring $A = \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$.

The work of Baranovsky and Ginzburg [BaGi]

Apart from the mistake in the proof, Behrend and Fantechi's work [BeFa] gives a new important understanding of a rich structure on Lagrangian intersection, investigated also by Baranovsky and Ginzburg [BaGi], who obtained analogous results for any pair of smooth coisotropic submanifolds L, M of arbitrary smooth Poisson algebraic varieties S considering first order deformations of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S$ to a sheaf of non-commutative algebras and of the structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathcal{O}_M$ to sheaves of right and left modules over the deformed algebra. The construction is canonically defined and it is independent of the choices of deformations involved.

The proof of their main result, Theorem 4.3.1 in [BaGi], shows that sometimes the Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures on Tor or Ext are well-defined globally. In their construction, this is the case, for instance, whenever in the setting of the proof of [BaGi, Thm 4.3.1], some cocycles are defined globally.

The work of Kapustin and Rozansky [KR2]

In [KR2], Kapustin and Rozansky study boundary conditions and defects in a three-dimensional topological sigma-model with a complex symplectic target space, the Rozansky-Witten model. It turns out that this model has a deep relation with the problem of deformation quantization of the derived category of coherent sheaves on a complex manifold, regarded as a symmetric monoidal category, and in particular with categorified algebraic geometry in the sense of [BFN, TV]. Namely, in the case when the target space of the Rozansky-Witten model has the form of the cotangent bundle T^*Y, where Y is a complex manifold, the 2-category of boundary conditions is very similar to the 2-category of derived categorical sheaves on Y.

More precisely, given a complex symplectic manifold (S, ω), Kapustin and Rozansky conjecture the existence of an interesting 2-category, with objects complex Lagrangians L with $K_L^{1/2}$, such that $\mathrm{Hom}(L, M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-periodic triangulated category, and if $L \cap M$ is locally modeled on $\mathrm{Crit}(f : U \to \mathbb{C})$ for $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function on a manifold U, then $\mathrm{Hom}(L, M)$ is locally modeled on the matrix factorization category $MF(U, f)$ as in [Orlov].

Matrix factorization and second categorification

It would be interesting to construct a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-periodic triangulated categories on Lagrangian intersection, which, in the language of categorification, would yield a second categorification of the intersection numbers, the first being given by the hypercohomology of the perverse sheaf constructed in the present work.

Also, this construction should be compatible with the Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures in the sense of [BaGi, Conj. 1.3.1].

It would be interesting to extend Theorem 3.1 to a class of 'derived Lagrangians' in (S,ω).

Given a pair L, M, of derived complex Lagrangian submanifolds in the sense of [PTVV] in a complex symplectic manifold (S,ω), with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2n$, Joyce conjectures that there should be some kind of approximate comparison

$$\mathbb{H}^k(P^\bullet_{L,M}) \approx HF^{k+n}(L,M),$$

where $HF^*(L,M)$ is the *Lagrangian Floer cohomology* of Fukaya, Oh, Ohta and Ono [FOOO]. Some of the authors of [BBDJS] are working on defining a 'Fukaya category' of (derived) complex Lagrangians in a complex symplectic manifold, using $\mathbb{H}^*(P^\bullet_{L,M})$ as morphisms. See [BBDJS] for a more detailed discussion.

References

[BaGi] V. Baranovsky and V. Ginzburg, *Gerstenhaber–Batalin–Vilkoviski structures on coisotropic intersections*, arXiv:0907.0037.

[Behr] K. Behrend, *Donaldson–Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry*, Ann. of Math. 170 (2009), 1307–1338. math.AG/0507523.

[BeFa] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *Gerstenhaber and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures on Lagrangian intersections*, pages 1–47 in *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry*, Progr. Math. 269, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2009.

[BBD] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers,* Astérisque 100, 1982.

[BFN] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis and D. Nadler, *Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry,* arXiv:0805.0157.

[BBDJS] C. Brav, V. Bussi, D. Dupont, D. Joyce, and B. Szendroi, *Symmetries and stabilization for sheaves of vanishing cycles*, arXiv:1211.3259v2, 2013.

[BBJ] C. Brav, V. Bussi and D. Joyce, *A Darboux theorem for derived schemes with shifted symplectic structure*, arXiv:1305.6302, 2013.

[BJM] V. Bussi, D. Joyce and S. Meinhardt, *On motivic vanishing cycles of critical loci*, arXiv:1305.6428, 2013.

[DASc] A. D'Agnolo and P. Schapira, *Quantization of complex Lagrangian submanifolds*, Advances in Math. 213 (2007), 358–379. math.AG/0506064.

[Dimc] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in Topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[FOOO] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta and K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory — anomaly and obstruction*, Parts I & II. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 46.1 & 46.2, A.M.S./International Press, 2009.

[GaHa] T. Gaffney and H. Hauser, *Characterizing singularities of varieties and of mappings*, Invent. math. 81 (1985), 427–447.

[HTT] R. Hotta, T. Tanisaki and K. Takeuchi, *$\mathscr{D}$-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progr. Math. 236, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2008.

[Joyc1] D. Joyce, *A classical model for derived critical loci*, arXiv:1304.4508, 2013.

[Kapr] M. Kapranov, *DG-modules over de Rham algebra*,

[KR2] A. Kapustin and L. Rozansky, *Three-dimensional topological eld theory and symplectic algebraic geometry II* (2009). Preprint arXiv:0909.3643.

[KaSc1] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[KaSc2] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Constructibility and duality for simple modules on symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), 207–237. math.QA/0512047.

[KaSc3] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Deformation quantization modules*, Astérisque 345, 2012.

[KoSo1] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, arXiv:0811.2435, 2008.

[KoSo2] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 5 (2011), 231–352. arXiv:1006.2706.

[Mass1] D. Massey, *The Sebastiani–Thom isomorphism in the derived category*, Compositio Math. 125 (2001), 353–362. math.AG/9908101.

[Mass] D. Massey, *Natural commuting of vanishing cycles and the Verdier dual*, arXiv:0908.2799, 2009.

[Orlov] D. O. Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 246 (2004), no. Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh., 240262

[PTVV] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, *Quantization and derived moduli spaces I: Shifted symplectic structures*, arXiv:1111.3209, 2011.

[Sabb] C. Sabbah, *On a twisted de Rham complex, II*, arXiv:1012.3818, 2010.

[Schu] J. Schürmann, *Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves*, Monografie Matematyczne 63, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

[TV] B. Toen and C. Vezzosi, *A note on Chern character, loop spaces and derived algebraic geometry,* arXiv:0804.1274.

[Thom] R.P. Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi–Yau* 3*–folds, and bundles on* $K3$ *fibrations*, J. Diff. Geom. 54 (2000), 367–438.
math.AG/9806111.